

## PRA Requests

Received From: 10/1/2018  
Received To: 10/31/2018  
Total: 204

Req uest ID	Request or Last Name	Request or Last First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Request or Last Business Name	Request Status	Response Index	Response Summary
PR A- 201 8- 019 70	Heo (Ins. Code §§ 10134 et seq.)	Elizabeth	10/1/ 2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (09/01/2018 through 09/30/2018) Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule a time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these documents, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PR A- 201 8- 019 71	Weiss (jail records)	Scott	10/1/ 2018	Most recent records indicating date released from jail.		Completed	Erin Nickles	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a release from jail, you may wish to direct your request to the jail.
PR A- 201 8- 019 89	Villery (settlements against CDCR)	Jared	10/1/ 2018	I am in receipt of your response letter dated September 20, 2018, sent to address my letter seeking records under the California Public Records Act, which I mailed to your office on September 5, 2018. My request seeks "any and all 'settlement agreements' entered into from January 1, 2014 to September 5, 2018, which resolved lawsuits filed in the U.S. District Court, for the Eastern District of California, against former or current employees of the CDCR which were brought by former or current state prisoners for any reason." In your response letter, you claim that the nature of my request would require "staff or each individual deputy attorney general to review every individual assigned matter to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request." You go on to claim that such a search of documents is not in the public interest. I respectfully disagree with your conclusion. I know that the California Attorney General's Office maintains a computerized case filing system. This system can easily be used to search for records that are responsive to my request. Further, search parameters can be tailored to exclude any cases that remain open, and any cases that were resolved through dispositive motions, bench trials, or jury trials. Essentially, your claim would mean that the public doesn't have a right to know about how tax dollars are being spent on prisoner settlements, beyond a six-month period. Such a position is untenable with intent of the CPRA, which places transparency in government above all else. However, in the spirit of compromise, I will restrict my request as follows: (1) you may limit the search to settlement agreements which resolved such lawsuits as described above, to cases where the monetary compensation provided to the plaintiffs amounted to a sum of \$15,000 or more; and (2) you may limit the responsive documents to the firsts		Completed	Erin Nickles	October 25, 2018 response: We have received your money order in the amount of \$8.08 and are enclosing the records that are responsive to your request. October 10, 2018 fees request: While we do have a computer database for our cases, the materials you are requesting are not maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive and manual search for such documents. Pursuant to section 6253, subdivision (b), our office charges \$.10/page as the direct cost for duplication of public records. An additional charge of \$2.68 is due to pay the postage fee (regular

PR A-2018-01975	Aldafari (property info)	Abbas	10/2/2018	<p>page of such settlement agreements, as long as they contain the case name, case number, the names of all plaintiffs and defendants, and the amount of compensation agreed to in order to resolve the cases. You do not need to provide any other documents, nor do you need to produce the entire settlement agreement from any case that falls within the bounds of my request.</p> <p>Nevertheless, I still desire the documents, subject to the above limitations, from the time period of January 1, 2014 to September 5, 2018. I do intend to pursue a petition for writ of mandate in the Sacramento Superior Court should you maintain your position of refusing to provide responsive records beyond a six-month period.</p> <p>Should you need additional time beyond the mandatory 10 day response period, that is fine. Please just keep me informed of the status of the search. Once all of the responsive documents have been located and your search is complete, please notify me of the number of pages being provided, and I will have a check sent to cover the copying and postage costs.</p>			<p>U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents.</p> <p>Your request for records has generated 54 pages of disclosable records. The cost for duplication totals \$5.40. The charge for postage is \$2.68. The total cost for duplicating and mailing in this instance is \$8.08.</p> <p>Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>		<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-01976	Oman Jr (property info)	Aubrey	10/2/2018	<p>The information I have is not correct for the ownership of River Point Napa Valley 500 Lincoln Ave. Napa, CA 94558-3611. Need the title owner and mailing address. This property is a timeshare property but I need to contract the owners and do not have the correct address.</p>			<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p>		<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p>
PR A-2018-01978	Alvarado	Joseph	10/2/2018	<p>A friend and former Special Agent of the BLM (Redding) by the name of David Burns was awarded an Attorney General Certificate of Commendation and I was trying to find the copy of list of officers awarded the commendation that year... Not this one</p>			<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other</p>		<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other</p>

019 77			<a href="http://library.humboldt.edu/humco/holdings/CAMP/CAMP1992.pdf">http://library.humboldt.edu/humco/holdings/CAMP/CAMP1992.pdf</a> The 1996 final has a list on p.27 <a href="http://library.humboldt.edu/humco/holdings/CAMP/CAMP1996.pdf">http://library.humboldt.edu/humco/holdings/CAMP/CAMP1996.pdf</a>			<p>agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>				
PR A- 201 8- 019 78	Jackson	Debra	10/2/ 2018	I'm looking for a copy of my diploma from Bryman School. I attended this school for medical office management from Oct 1994 graduating in Jan 1995 with a one-month externship in Feb 1995. I've my diploma and need to have a copy. At that time, I attended the Bryman school in San Jose, CA. May name at the time was Debra A. Body. Any help you can give me or direct me to where I can obtain the information.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Bryman School, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>			
PR A- 201 8- 019 79	McKinley	Kim	10/2/ 2018	Copy of original marriage certificate to Hector J Fernandez, date of marriage was [REDACTED] in Beverly Hills, California	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>			
PR A- 201 8- 019 82	Pratt (Central Coast Public Radio)	Tyler	10/2/ 2018	Recently released 2018 report detailing the Attorney General's investigation and findings of racially insensitive and offensive acts at Cal Poly to determine if any laws or university policies were violated. Requested by the university in May of 2018. If some information is confidential, please release either redacted version or portions that are public.	Completed	Chris Knudsen	<p>For the reasons set forth below, we must respectfully deny your request:</p> <p>1. Attorney-Client Privileged Records</p> <p>The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the</p>			



PR A-2018-01983	Maini Priyanka	10/2/2018	NAME Shaheeda Alana ADDRESS [REDACTED] FILING LOCATION Riverside, Ca DOCUMENT TYPE Judgment TOTAL JUDGMENT AMOUNT \$5,388 PLAINTIFF NAME Law Offices Of Brian G Workman CREDITOR NAME Law Offices Of Brian G Workman COURT CASE NUMBER MVS1303603 RECORDING NUMBER 279665 RECORDING DATE July 25, 2014	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The investigation report is work product of the Deputy Attorney General who prepared the report. Similarly, the exhibits attached and questions asked of witnesses reflect his approach, thought processes, and mental impressions.</p> <p>3. Investigative Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>The records that you seek constitute records of a confidential investigation by the office of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p> <p>4. Private Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c) makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The request is also denied to the extent it seeks private and confidential information.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. If you have more information regarding the records you are seeking, please provide that to us. Based on the information provided, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability</p>
-----------------	----------------	-----------	--	-----------	---------------	--

PR A-2018-01987	Borden (Media)	Jeremy	10/2/2018	<p>This letter is a revision of my July 28, 2018, request, which was granted in part and denied in part. The following should also be considered a request for information under California's Public Records Act and a revision of my previous request. In order to address the relevant areas of concern deemed overbroad when it came to communications sought under the PRA, I am happy to revise my request to address the attorney general office's concerns.</p> <p>Your letter also addresses several areas where records were withheld under various exemptions of the Public Records Act. I would ask that once records are compiled, please justify each deletion separately by reference to specific exemptions of the law and release all reasonably segregable portions of otherwise-exempt material.</p> <p>I will address the office's concerns one by one and please see my full revised request below.</p> <p>1) "[Y]our request could require a time-consuming canvass of each subunit of the Department and require each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each subunit, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest."</p> <p>Response: Please see below where I have identified specific departments to focus the search for records.</p> <p>2) "In addition, your request seeks communications with persons and organizations not named in the request ... requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond."</p> <p>Response: I have included the names and email addresses for communications I would like released in full. However, because those communications may have come from other similar email addresses or personal email accounts (such as Gmail), I would ask that the search for those individuals not be limited to the specific email provided but rather the individual with affiliation that I have provided if possible. Also, please be inclusive of all emails from the companies/entities identified as much as possible if other records are found during the AG office's search.</p> <p>My revised request:</p> <p>Please provide all communications to and from representatives of the California Attorney General in the offices named below to and from the named recipients, including but not limited to emails and memos, from January 1, 2016 through present.</p> <p>Please conduct the search within the following offices:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Within the Corporate Fraud Section, Securities Unit, Consumer Protection and Antitrust &amp; Business Competition.</li> <li>II. Within the Division of Operations, Directorate Programs, Directorate Division, Executive Programs Division: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Office of Legislative Affairs and Special Assistants to the Attorney General.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>Please search those offices for the following emails to and from persons with similar email addresses for the above time period for those who are affiliated with Facebook, California Advisors LLC, Sacramento Advocates, Inc., Computer &amp; Communications Industry Association, Internet Association, Information Technology Industry Council (ITIC), Di Mare, Brown, Hicks &amp; Kessler LLC.</p> <p>Please be inclusive of all emails from those entities that might be from the named individual and in instances where email or communications come from the named individuals from other addresses or by other means (mail, etc.). However, in an effort to narrow my request as much as possible, I would ask at a minimum that the following emails and individuals be searched, and records provided first:</p> <p>Facebook Emails  Joel Kaplan, VP, Government Relations, jkaplan@fb.com  Myriah Jordan, Public Policy, mjordan@fb.com  Louisa Terrell, Director of Public Policy, lterrell@fb.com</p>	Completed	Catherine Brown	<p>On December 5, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: In our October 26, 2018 letter to you in response to your request, we informed you that some of the records you requested were exempt from disclosure under the Act, but that we would provide nonexempt responsive records at a later date. We also noted that if we discovered any responsive records that are subject to any exemption other than as identified in our response, we would notify you of any such additional exemptions. In producing these records, we are asserting the exemptions set forth in our prior letter and the additional exemptions set forth below.</p> <p>Certain information contained in the records being produced is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>The attorneys in the Department provide legal advice not only to other state agencies, but also to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, communications between the Department's attorneys and other state agency clients, or between the Attorney General or his designees and the Department's attorneys, included within any responsive records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act. Such exempt information has been redacted. The deliberative process</p>	<p>to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court in Riverside, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
-----------------	----------------	--------	-----------	---	-----------	-----------------	---	--

			<p>Chris Herndon, Director of Public Policy, <a href="mailto:cherndon@fb.com">cherndon@fb.com</a></p> <p>Brian Rice, Director of Public Policy, no email identified. Try <a href="mailto:brice@fb.com">brice@fb.com</a></p> <p>Greg Maurer, VP, U.S. Federal Public Policy, <a href="mailto:gmauer@fb.com">gmauer@fb.com</a></p> <p>Catlin O'Neill, Director, U.S. Public Policy, <a href="mailto:conell@fb.com">conell@fb.com</a></p> <p>Erin Egan, VP, U.S. Public Policy and Chief Privacy Officer, <a href="mailto:eegan@fb.com">eegan@fb.com</a></p> <p>Nkechi Iheme, Public Policy, <a href="mailto:niheme@fb.com">niheme@fb.com</a></p> <p>Sandra Luff, Director, Executive Branch Public Policy, <a href="mailto:sluff@fb.com">sluff@fb.com</a></p> <p>Will Caste berry, VP for US State and Local Policy, <a href="mailto:wcastelberry@fb.com">wcastelberry@fb.com</a></p> <p>Dan Sachs, Head of State Legislative Affairs, <a href="mailto:dans@fb.com">dans@fb.com</a></p> <p>Anna Clift, Executive Assistant, FB Public Policy and Legal, <a href="mailto:aclift@fb.com">aclift@fb.com</a></p> <p>Nikhil Shanbhag, Director, Competition and Telecommunications Law, <a href="mailto:nshanghag@fb.com">nshanghag@fb.com</a></p> <p>Ime Arch bong, VP of Product Partnerships, <a href="mailto:iarchibong@fb.com">iarchibong@fb.com</a> or <a href="mailto:imearchbong@fb.com">imearchbong@fb.com</a></p> <p>Molly Cutler, Strategic Response Program Director at Facebook, <a href="mailto:mollyc@fb.com">mollyc@fb.com</a></p> <p>Andy Stone, Policy Communications Manager at Facebook, <a href="mailto:astone@fb.com">astone@fb.com</a></p> <p>Benjamin Powell, partner at the law firm WilmerHale LP, <a href="mailto:benjamin.powell@wilmerhale.com">benjamin.powell@wilmerhale.com</a></p> <p>California Advisors LLC</p> <p>Anna M. Alvarado, <a href="mailto:ana@gqhlobby.com">ana@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Viviana Becerra, <a href="mailto:viviana@gqhlobby.com">viviana@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Steven D. Cruz, <a href="mailto:steve@gqhlobby.com">steve@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Melanie J. Cuevas, <a href="mailto:melanie@gqhlobby.com">melanie@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Audrey A. Durfor, <a href="mailto:audrey@gqhlobby.com">audrey@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>William Gonzalez, <a href="mailto:will@gqhlobby.com">will@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Delaney L. Hunter, <a href="mailto:delaney@gqhlobby.com">delaney@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Matthew Klopfenstein, <a href="mailto:matt@gqhlobby.com">matt@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Caitlin Maple, <a href="mailto:Caitlin@gqhlobby.com">Caitlin@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Jacob V. Moss, <a href="mailto:jacob@gqhlobby.com">jacob@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>David W. Quintana, <a href="mailto:dave@gqhlobby.com">dave@gqhlobby.com</a> or <a href="mailto:david@gqhlobby.com">david@gqhlobby.com</a></p> <p>Sacramento Advocates, Inc.</p> <p>Barry S. Brokaw, <a href="mailto:barybrokaw@sacramentoadvocates.com">barybrokaw@sacramentoadvocates.com</a></p> <p>Nicholas K. Brokaw, <a href="mailto:nbrokaw@sacramentoadvocates.com">nbrokaw@sacramentoadvocates.com</a></p> <p>Gareth Elliott, <a href="mailto:Elliott@sacramentoadvocates.com">Elliott@sacramentoadvocates.com</a></p> <p>Silvio J. Ferrari, <a href="mailto:Ferrari@sacramentoadvocates.com">Ferrari@sacramentoadvocates.com</a></p> <p>Catherine H. Gilson, <a href="mailto:Gilson@sacramentoadvocates.com">Gilson@sacramentoadvocates.com</a></p> <p>Computer &amp; Communications Industry Association</p> <p>Ed Black, President, try <a href="mailto:eblack@ccia.net">eblack@ccia.net</a> or <a href="mailto:black@ccia.net">black@ccia.net</a></p> <p>Internet Association</p> <p>Dustin Brighton, <a href="mailto:brighton@internetassociation.org">brighton@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Abigail Slater aka Gail Slater, <a href="mailto:slater@internetassociation.org">slater@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Michael Beckerman, <a href="mailto:Beckerman@internetassociation.org">Beckerman@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Gina Grandinetti Woodsworth <a href="mailto:grandinetti@internetassociation.org">grandinetti@internetassociation.org</a> or <a href="mailto:woodsworth@internetassociation.org">woodsworth@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Tom Manatos <a href="mailto:manatos@internetassociation.org">manatos@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Ellen Schrantz <a href="mailto:ellen@internetassociation.org">ellen@internetassociation.org</a> or <a href="mailto:schrantz@internetassociation.org">schrantz@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Michael Bloom, <a href="mailto:bloom@internetassociation.org">bloom@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Melika Carroll, <a href="mailto:carroll@internetassociation.org">carroll@internetassociation.org</a> or <a href="mailto:melika@internetassociation.org">melika@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Ari Giovenco, <a href="mailto:giovenco@internetassociation.org">giovenco@internetassociation.org</a></p> <p>Information Technology Industry Council (ITIC)</p> <p>Andy Halataei, VP Government Affairs, <a href="mailto:ahalataei@itic.org">ahalataei@itic.org</a></p> <p>Pamela Richardson Walker, VP for Federal Public Sector, <a href="mailto:pwalker@itic.org">pwalker@itic.org</a></p> <p>Karolina Filipiak, Senior Manager of Government Affairs, <a href="mailto:kfilipiak@itic.org">kfilipiak@itic.org</a></p> <p>Jonathan Clifford, Director of National Security for the Information Technology Alliance for the Public Sector, <a href="mailto:jclifford@itic.org">jclifford@itic.org</a></p> <p>Eminence Griffin, Director of Federal Procurement and Counsel <a href="mailto:egriffin@itic.org">egriffin@itic.org</a></p> <p>Shannon Taylor, VP of Government Affairs, <a href="mailto:staylor@itic.org">staylor@itic.org</a></p> <p>Ricky Le, Senior Director of Government Affairs, Try <a href="mailto:rle@itic.org">rle@itic.org</a></p> <p>Jennifer McCloskey, VP of Policy, Try <a href="mailto:jmccloskey@itic.org">jmccloskey@itic.org</a></p> <p>Sara Beth Jansen, Senior Director, Government Affairs@ Policy, <a href="mailto:sjansen@itic.org">sjansen@itic.org</a></p> <p>Vince Jesatis, VP for Government Affairs, <a href="mailto:vjesatis@itic.org">vjesatis@itic.org</a></p> <p>Joel Kaplan, Vice Chair ITIC, Try <a href="mailto:jkaplan@itic.org">jkaplan@itic.org</a></p> <p>Di Mare, Brown, Hicks &amp; Kessler LLC</p> <p>Amy L. Brown, <a href="mailto:abrown@lawpolicy.com">abrown@lawpolicy.com</a></p>			<p>privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal3d 1325, 1342.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, to the extent your request could encompass records relating to an investigation or investigations, such records would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In addition, in recognition of privacy concerns, we will not disclose information that is personal because that information is also exempt from disclosure under the Act. For example, we have redacted information regarding personal phone numbers and contact information for the Attorney General and his staff, among other personal information. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; Gov. Code §§ 6254, subds. (c), (k), 6254.3, 6255.)</p> <p>Copies of the nonexempt responsive records are attached to the email transmitting this letter to you. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>On October 26, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: As an initial matter, we note that your request seeks communications with persons and organizations not named in the request. These include (1) persons with "similar email addresses" and (2) unnamed persons affiliated with certain companies or organizations. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Act are contrary to the purposes of the Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

PR A-201	Davis	Clarence	10/2/2018	<p>Dominic F. Di Mare, ddimare@lawpolicy.com</p> <p>Jodi Hicks, jhicks@lawpolicy.com</p> <p>Alice L. Kessler, akessler@lawpolicy.com</p> <p>Laura Parra, lparra@lawpolicy.com</p> <p>Max Perry mperry@lawpolicy.com</p> <p>Ryan Spencer rspencer@lawpolicy.com</p>			<p>compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>For these reasons, we must decline your request as it is currently cast to the extent it seeks communications with unnamed persons.</p> <p>To the extent your request seeks confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, the records are exempt. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p> <p>Our records are not maintained, indexed or categorized according to the parameters or descriptors identified in your request, such as the names of persons or entities or email addresses, and are not electronically indexed for all periods of time. However, we are conducting an electronic search of existing email records for responsive records. We have also contacted knowledgeable persons in the Consumer Law Section, Antitrust Law Section, Office of Legislative Affairs and Executive Office, to locate documents responsive to your request that may exist for the period January 1, 2016 to the date of your request.</p> <p>We anticipate that we may begin production to you of any nonexempt responsive records on or about November 29, 2018, subject to your payment of applicable costs of duplication, if any. To the extent we discover any responsive records that are subject to any exemption other than as identified in this response, we will notify you of any such additional exemption(s).</p> <p>On October 5, 2018, Gisele Rainier sent an extension letter.</p>	Philip J.	Completed	<p>Response to request 1-4; The Attorney General's office represents the California Department of Corrections</p>
----------	-------	----------	-----------	--	--	--	---	-----------	-----------	---



8-02049			<p>section 3491, (b)(l) of title 15 of the California Code of Regulations."</p> <p>"2. A document authored by anyone employed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation that shows that the agency (CDCR) has begun the process of seeking the repeal of Cal. Code Regs., title 15, § 3491, subd.(b)(l)."</p> <p>"3. A document authored by anyone employed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation that shows that the agency (CDCR) intends to comply with the court's order, as set forth in Edwards, by initiating and carrying out to completion the process of seeking the repeal of Cal. Code Regs., title 15, § 3491, subd. (b)(l)."</p> <p>"4. A document authored by anyone employed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation that acknowledges that based on the holdings of Edwards and/or it's disposition nonviolent third strikers are eligible for Proposition 57 parole consideration."</p> <p>"5. A copy of the Petition for Review, if any, filed by the respondent in In re Edwards, 2018 Cal.App. LEXIS 801; 208 WL 4275353."</p> <p>"6. A copy of the remittitur, if any, filed in relationship to In re Edwards, 2018 Cal.App. LEXIS 801; 2018 WL4275353."</p> <p>"7. A nonconfidential document authored by anyone (post Edwards) that happens to be employed by the Attorney General's Office (State of California) that sets forth an opinion that nonviolent third strikers are entitled to Proposition 57 early parole consideration."</p> <p>8. In your letter, you also ask for a copy of the decision in In re Orr from Santa Clara County Superior Court and any notice of appeal filed in that case.</p>			<p>Lindsay</p> <p>and Rehabilitation as litigation counsel before the courts, including in the In re Edwards matter. As such, any documents responsive to these requests are protected from disclosure under the Public Records Act as attorney-client confidential communications. (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954.)</p> <p>Response to request 5: We have no documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>Response to request 6: We have no documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>As of the date of this response, the Court of Appeal in In re Edwards has not yet issued its remittitur.</p> <p>Response to request 7: We have no documents responsive to your request in that you seek nonconfidential documents. As stated above, the Attorney General's office represents the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation as litigation counsel before the courts, including in the In re Edwards matter. As such, any document responsive to this request is protected from disclosure under the Public Records Act as attorney-client confidential communications (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954) and attorney work-product (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Code of Civ. Proc., § 2018.030).</p> <p>Response to request 8: Enclosed is a copy of the Santa Clara County Superior Court's order in In re Taylan Orr, Case no. CC643445. No notice of appeal has been filed in this matter. Under the Public Record Act, my office charges \$.10 per page for copies of documents provided under the Act. However, I have waived those charges.</p>	
PR A-8-01981	Villalobos (Initiatives)	Ricardo	10/3/2018	<p>I ask your Department to please provide me with a copy of the Following "Public Records Request":</p> <p>"California Burglaries and Robberies Without Physical Harm Exempt from Three Strikes Sentencing Law Initiative (2018)." (As Was/Is Posted on Ballotpedia.org)</p> <p>Also please provide me a copy of:</p> <p>"California Attorney General", "Initiative #17-0046" (November 13, 2017)</p>	Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018", a copy is enclosed. This initiative did no qualify for the November 2018 General Election. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PR A-8-01984	Cabrera	Dolores	10/3/2018	<p>Copy of Marriage License married in San Pedro, Calif approx- 1997</p> <p>Manuel Cabrera Perez &amp; Dolores Valles</p>	Completed		
PR A-201	King	Jonathan	10/3/2018	<p>Any available public criminal or court records for the following deceased individual:</p> <p>Name: MYERS, JAMES WADE</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive</p>

8-01985						records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .			
PR A-2018-01986	Brazen Wendy	10/3/2018	Divorce final paper work, or final forms to complete divorce Not sure where my divorce stands at this point it's been approx. 4 years and I cant seem to find out if my divorce was ever finalized because the respondent never complied.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .			
PR A-2018-01988	Cooke (Reuters - Tesla complaints)	Kristina	On behalf of Reuters News and myself, jointly, I request that you provide us with the following records created on or after Jan 1, 2017. - Any consumer complaints related to or referencing Tesla - Any communication between the AG's office and Tesla We ask that you provide us with the documents in their original digital format. Additionally, please construe this as an	Completed	Jeffrey Rich	On October 29, 2018 Jeff Rich responded: Regarding your request for any consumer complaints related to or referencing Tesla, for the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request.			

				ongoing FOIA request, so that any records that come within the possession of the agency prior to your final response to this FOIA request should also be considered within the request's scope.				Consumer complaints are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)”].) In response to your request for any communication between the AG's office and Tesla, we enclose an email exchange. On October 15, 2018, Jeff Rich sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01990	Nichols	Leanna	10/3/2018	My name is Leanna Nichols I need a copy of the criminal restraining order I had against John Frank Bertz Sr. I believe incident took place on Jan-7-2018 at [REDACTED] and was for domestic violence. I am trying to find out how I can reactivate the DV criminal restraining order against John Bertz I wasn't aware of the court hearing and they dropped the order I don't want it to be dismissed.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records issued by a Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	
PR A-2018-02000	Bernstein	Alison	10/3/2018	I am so sorry for the long delay in getting back to you. I trust that you had an excellent vacation, and that it was not disturbed by my failure to get back to you in response to your question before you left. As I recall, you called me sometime in the week of September 4, responding to my email of September 2, 2018 requesting disclosure of the fact and content of communication between your office and Mr. Calvin Cooksey, a critical witness in the trial of my client, Regis Thomas. You informed me that your office had received the request from Mr. Cooksey seeking certain documents from the trial as a public records act request, and had attempted to comply with that request pursuant to the relevant authority. You also asked me to provide authority for the disclosure of the information we requested. I am sorry for the lengthy delay in responding to your request. As I mentioned in our telephone conversation, any communication between your office and Mr. Cooksey – particularly conversations in which he asked your office to perform a service for him, or provide missing documents, and your office complied -- would properly fall under the rubric of Brady v. Maryland, and requires disclosure. Independent of Brady, requests made to public agencies pursuant to the provisions of the CPRA and the corresponding response by the agency are themselves subject to disclosure under the provisions of the CPRA. I am attaching my original email communication to you here as a pdf, along with the attachments.	Completed	Rosalinda Rosas	As per your records request, enclosed please find documents that are responsive to your request that includes "fact and content of Mr. Calvin Cooksey" in re Regis Deon Thomas, California Supreme Court Case Number S204700. The responsive documents are as follows: 1. 8/15/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson; 2. 8/15/17 - Email from DAG Wilson to Cooksey & Reply "Thank you" from Cooksey;	

PR A-2018-	Jackson (Budget)	Y'Mahni	10/4/2018	What was the amount of money spent on all legal services, including the information services for the California Department of Justice, during the year of 2017? What is the budget for 2018?				<p>3. 8/15/17, 8:03 p.m. - Email from Cooksey (misdirected to DAG Wilson);</p> <p>4. 8/16/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson apologizing for misdirected email (#3);</p> <p>5. 8/16/17, 1:12 p.m. - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson requesting declaration for change of venue motion in U.S.D.C.;</p> <p>6. 8/16/17, 4:39 p.m. - Email from Cooksey (misdirected to DAG Wilson);</p> <p>7. 8/16/17, 5:20 p.m. - Email from Cooksey (misdirected to DAG Wilson);</p> <p>8. 8/17/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson apologizing for misdirected emails and withdrawing request for declaration from DAG Wilson;</p> <p>9. 9/1/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson requesting status of PRA request;</p> <p>10. 9/5/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson requesting status of PRA request;</p> <p>11. 9/5/17 - Email from DAG Wilson to Cooksey responding to PRA request with attached documents:</p> <p>a. Clerk's Transcript, pages 829-833 (Declaration of Mark Arnold and Order);</p> <p>b. Clerk's Transcript, page 834 (Maricopa County Minute Order);</p> <p>c. Clerk's Transcript, page 835 (Minute Order);</p> <p>d. Clerk's Transcript, page 842 (Minute Order);</p> <p>e. Clerk's Transcript, page 864 (Minute Order);</p> <p>f. Clerk's Transcript, page 873 (Minute Order);</p> <p>g. Clerk's Transcript, page 876 (Minute Order);</p> <p>h. Clerk's Transcript, page 878 (Minute Order);</p> <p>i. Clerk's Transcript, page 879 (Minute Order);</p> <p>j. Clerk's Transcript, page 884 (Minute Order);</p> <p>k. Clerk's Transcript, page 1000 (Minute Order);</p> <p>l. Clerk's Transcript, page 1001 (Minute Order);</p> <p>m. Clerk's Transcript, page 1265 (Maricopa County Order for bench warrant);</p> <p>12. 9/5/2017 Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson stating, "Thank you!"</p> <p>For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, the Legal Division costs were \$437,147,067 and the California Justice Information Services Division costs were</p>
				Completed	Stacy Heinzen			

019 91									\$187,557,353. For Fiscal Year 2018-2019, the Legal Division budget is \$478,401,000 and the California Justice Information Services Division budget is \$297,373,000.
PR A- 201 8- 019 92	Peter	Becca	10/4/ 2018		The number of complaints filed with the Attorney General's office regarding LuLaRoe, LLC and LLR, Inc from 2016 to present. Please break down the number of complaints by month and year.	Completed	Erin Nickless		To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A- 201 8- 019 93	Marquez	Angela	10/4/ 2018		Registration of United Edu Steward & Solutions Inc ISEVPO-2, ISEVPO-1	Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim		Attached please find the registration documents pertaining to United Edu Steward & Solutions, Inc. for the years 2014 to 2018, including forms ISEVPO-1 and ISEVPO-2.
PR A- 201 8- 019 94	Rodgers	Thomas	10/4/ 2018		Court filings or actions prospective tenant	Completed	Erin Nickless		We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.  In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created.  Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state

PR A-2018-01995	Smith Paris	10/4/2018	I've never been to jail		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PR A-2018-01996	Love Shiah	10/4/2018	All records about Shilah Hobson, Shilah M Hobson, Shilah Love, and Shilah M Love from 1978 to 1986		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public</p>

PR A-8-019 97	Engel (Vadata, Amazon)	Robert	10/4/2018	<p>All available records of communication – including but not limited to emails, iMessages, text messages, written memoranda and faxes – sent to or from all employees of the Office of the Attorney General containing any of the following the keywords sent between January 1, 2016 and October 2, 2018.</p> <p>The relevant keywords are: "Vadata," "Amazon Web Services," "AWS," and "Amazon."</p> <p>Additionally, I request any records of complaints filed with your office against "Vadata, Inc." or "Amazon Web Services" or "AWS" or "Amazon" submitted between January 1, 2016 and October 2, 2018.</p>	Completed	Todd Grabarsky	<p>Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>On October 29, 2018, Todd Grabarsky sent a response: On October 15, 2018, because your request required coordination with different sections in the department that have responsibility for the requested records, we extended the period to provide our response, pursuant to Government Code section 6253, subdivision (c).</p> <p>Beginning with your second request for "records of complaints . . .", any such records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355) [a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and</p>
---------------	------------------------	--------	-----------	---	-----------	----------------	--

PR A-2018-01998	Carter (certificate of occupancy)	Sharyl Chutkan	10/4/2018	Park Royale 7650 Balboa Boulevard (AKA 7658 North Balboa Boulevard) APN: 2205008023 Please find this to be a formal request for copies of Certificates of Occupancy for the above listed property.				<p>history of subdivision (f)).) Accordingly, to the extent that your second request seeks any complaint or investigatory records, they would be exempt from disclosure and therefore your request for them would be denied.</p> <p>With regard to your first request—"records of communication . . ."—your request as currently cast is overly burdensome. A search using the keywords you have identified would result in countless communications that are unrelated to the public's business and therefore not public records subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act. The California Attorney General's Office, located in six different cities, has more than 4,500 employees in six main offices and additional law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each Department division, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual Department employee or official, or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, to review their respective email and files to determine if they have any records responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of records for a period of more than two and a half years would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>In an effort to help you with your request, we invite you to refine your first request to narrow the scope, context, and/or subject matter of the communications containing your search terms. For example, if you are interested in records of contracts or purchase orders through Amazon, we might be able to identify the staff that would handle such transactions over a six-month period. If and when you make such a more limited request of non-exempt records that is not unduly burdensome, we will process that request.</p> <p>On October 15, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)
-----------------	-----------------------------------	----------------	-----------	---	--	--	--	---	-----------	---------------	--



PR A-2018-01999	Thana a (AP) (Trump)	Sudhi n	10/4/ 2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.) and the California Constitution, as amended by passage of Proposition 59 in November 2004, I am writing to request the following information:</p> <p>1) Any reports, documents, email correspondence or other record created by the State of California Department of Justice ("the Department") or for the Department that provides information about any costs the Department has incurred on litigation against the administration of President Donald Trump ("the president");</p> <p>2) I am seeking costs for time spent by the Department staff discussing, preparing, corresponding about and filing any complaints, briefs, petitions for review or legal objections against actions taken since January 20, 2017 by the president, any of his executive agencies or any independent federal government agencies such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. I am also seeking costs for time spent by the Department staff discussing, preparing, corresponding about and filing any press releases announcing the filing of any such complaints, briefs, petitions for review or legal objections. I am also seeking any costs the Department has incurred on court filing fees and travel and staff time to appear in court or before any government agency in connection with any such complaints, briefs,</p> <p>petitions for review or legal objections.</p> <p>Your office has previously provided my news organization with the total cost of each closed litigation matter related to my inquiry as of December 5, 2017. This request at the very least seeks all additional records that fall into that category as of the date of this letter. I also call your attention to this Sacramento Bee article dated October 3, 2018 that appears to contain the same figures I have previously sought and was denied (<a href="https://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article219310805.html">https://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article219310805.html</a>).</p> <p>I would like any documents that were turned over to the Sacramento Bee by your office. If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to this request, please contact me at The Associated Press office in San Francisco, (415) 863-6012. You also can email me at <a href="mailto:sthanawala@ap.org">sthanawala@ap.org</a>&lt;<a href="mailto:sthanawala@ap.org">mailto:sthanawala@ap.org</a>&gt;. I ask that you notify me of any duplication costs exceeding \$100 so that I may decide which records I want copied.</p>	Rolli ng Pro ductio n	Catheri ne Brown	<p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p> <p>Catherine Brown responded on October 30 as follows: We &lt;decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege. Privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; see also, e.g., County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264.) The attorneys in the Department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the Department's attorneys regarding the matters described in your request are confidential communications under the attorney-client privilege and are exempt from disclosure under the Act. Similarly, the attorney work product exception, which protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories, is also incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819.) Records prepared by the attorneys employed with the</p>
-----------------	----------------------------	------------	---------------	---	-----------------------------------	------------------------	---

PR A-2018-02024	Ovsepva n	Joseph	10/4/2018	<p>My name is Joseph Ovsepva n, and I am requesting my sex offender registry compliance paperwork from the year 2000-2017. My CII number is [REDACTED]. Driver License number [REDACTED]. FCN number [REDACTED]. My social security number [REDACTED]. I will be moving out of California sometime in November. I am moving to Nevada. The sex offender registry in Carson City, Nevada requires me to show proof of my registration compliance here in California from the year 2000 to 2017. I already have the paperwork from 2018. So I am respectfully asking for supporting documents of my registration for each year stated above. If there is a fee to pay, I will do so. Thank you in advance.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. Subject to limited exceptions, the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's (DOJ) California Sex and Arson Registry. Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code § 6254, subds. (c) and (f).) Thus, to the</p>	<p>Attorney General's Office that are subject to the work product exception are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>Your request references a news article published in the Sacramento Bee and seeks any documents that were provided by our office to the Sacramento Bee in connection with such article. There are no responsive records to that request. We did however inform the author of that article that expenditures for litigation filed against the Trump Administration were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o For FY 2016-2017, \$2,807,076, 0.33 percent of the Department's total budget.</li> <li>o For FY 2017-2018, \$9,152,966, 0.99 percent of total budget. This included 15 positions.</li> </ul> <p>We will disclose responsive and non-exempt records for the period from our last response to you to the date of this request, relating to (a) travel costs and court costs incurred in the litigation matters described in your request, and (b) total costs incurred for any such litigation matters that are completed and no longer pending. We require additional time to compile, review and redact those records. We expect to begin making those records available to you on or about November 30, 2018.</p> <p>The Department is authorized to charge the direct costs of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public record requests. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) If we intend to charge reproduction costs to you for those additional records, we will inform you of the costs and how to pay before reproducing the records.</p> <p>Catherine Brown sent an extension letter on October 16, 2018.</p>
-----------------	-----------	--------	-----------	--	-----------	----------------	---	---

PR A-2018-02001	Hargreaves	Rene	10/5/2018	<p>Restraining order 12CH38603, Garamendi v Zelmer in Calaveras County. Also any arrests for Zelmer. Zelmer lists 3 different incarcerations on his Facebook page, Susanville CCC and Deuel Vocational in Tracy. He married my daughter.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of Penal Code (PC) section 290.021 or other state statute, and/or private in nature or part of a law enforcement investigation file, your request is denied. Note, you may want to request these records from the local law enforcement registering agency or agencies.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking your criminal history record to show the absence of out-of-compliance convictions, the California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California PC section 11076, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record. Note, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. For additional information and to request your own criminal history record, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking a restraining order, we have no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the order was issued.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the</p>
-----------------	------------	------	-----------	--	-----------	---------------	---

PR A-2018-02002	Harrison	Marc he	10/5/2018	<p>Wanted posters for Marche Harrison authored by officer timothy Bergquist of the Alameda county S.A.F.E Task force dated (1).5/23/06.....(2).handwritten notation marked resent 5/2007 (3).December 2007 ..... Wanted poster authored by Detective Derting of the Union City Police Department containing an 2/98 C.A.B.S date made February 10, 2005</p> <p>Please send request to prison via U.S mail working days if necessary send him additional information</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. Since the posters you are seeking were generated by local law enforcement officials, you would need to direct your request to the local police department.</p>
PR A-2018-02003	Damien (Desert Sun) (index of data from LEA)	Christopher	10/5/2018	<p>This request is for a master index of all data that the California Department of Justice keeps of reports provided by law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>I am requesting that the index of discrete report items from law enforcement agencies be compiled in an excel sheet. Please include items that are already being processed into data sets and released to the public via Open Justice website (i.e. LEOKA dataset). Also include other report types that have not yet been processed into data sets.</p>	Assigned	John Killeen	<p>On October 29, 2018, John Killeen responded: On October 15, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request by 14 days. (§ 6253, subd. (c).) As an initial matter, we understand the term "master index of all data" to mean a list of the types of data our office receives from law enforcement agencies, rather than each individual piece of data that our office receives. If we misinterpreted your request, please let us know.</p> <p>With that understanding, we have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our office's possession, and we are compiling a list of the types of data our office receives from law enforcement agencies. Because this effort requires coordination with many different sections of our office, we estimate that it will take four to five weeks for us to produce the final list. We will keep you apprised of our progress as needed. Please let us know if you have any questions in the meantime.</p> <p>On October 15, 2018, John Killeen sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018-02005	Morris (3rd Party Records)	Pamela	10/5/2018	<p>Requesting information and documents on Michael Wayne Newman he is 36 dob [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the</p>

PR A-2018-02006	Blutstein (AG security annual costs)	Allan (America Rising)	10/5/2018	This is a request for records indicating the annual cost of providing security to the Attorney General from July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018.			<p>California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
					Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Below please find the requested information that we have available for the fiscal year within the scope of your request:</p> <p>FY 17/18 (July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018): \$ 610,449.61</p> <p>Please note: The total annual expenditures listed above include the full salaries and benefits of all Protective Services agents employed</p>

PR A-2018-02007	Meyer Kirkpatrick (Diana Macinni Lowery)	Janice	10/5/2018	I am seeking any documents signed or put through by former Councilmember Mrs. Diana Macinni Lowery from the city of Stockton or any affiliated workers or friends of her. I have been systematically attacked upon my property, person and character since I moved next to her in September of 2016. I would like help please.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>by the Department, as well as the operating expenses and equipment costs of the Protective Services Unit. The Department provides protective services to all Attorneys General during their term in office.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PR A-2018-02008	Joseph (CH, police reports)	Brittany	10/5/2018	<p>I am looking for documents tied to Lincoln Allen Joseph from Sutter County California. He was born [REDACTED] and social security number was [REDACTED]. I am seeking any and all mugshots and the police report from his death on [REDACTED]. He was arrested several times. I have one of those booking reports:</p> <p>Booking#: 93-0006608  Date: 11/3/93  Cell: M DRM 999 018  Arrested by: Bailey, Agency: SCSO  Warrant #: 95CR659</p> <p>Lincoln Joseph was my father. He died when I was 9 months old and I have never seen a photo of him, nor have I ever gotten the truth regarding his death. I know he was arrested several times and I also know there was an investigation into his death.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PR A-2018-02045	Hernandez, Sherry	10/5/2018	<p>Someone (My former attorney) may have filed information on me requesting an investigation. My lifescan which was conducted to continue my work in the prison ministry (praying and sharing the gospel to women who are incarcerated) has caused my file to be halted by the DOJ with no explanation to me.</p> <p>My former attorney has been filing litigation using my name as a "sovereign citizen extremist", I have not been charged, nor served in any litigations, however, I do know that she is using this tactic to cover up her own problems. I did not believe she was being taken seriously until this problem with my lifescan.</p> <p>I believe I have a right to know if I, Sherry Hernandez, am under investigation for anything. Please review your records and send me any information that may account for any kind of investigation of me whatsoever.</p> <p>You can write me back at this e-mail address if you need any further information to complete my request.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-02055	Parvin, Olfati (Bloom Hearing Aid)	10/5/2018	<p>1. Any communications with Bloom Hearing Aid Centers, 2825 J Street, Suite 245, Sacramento. CA 95825 that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>2. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any emoluments, payments, communications, or actions taken or contemplated regarding any placement of or presence of any ear-affecting, ear-damaging, hearing-affecting, or hearing-damaging toxics, poisons, or substances in or near any house, residence, automobile, or other property used or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati or any resident of [REDACTED].</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-02056	Parvin, Olfati (emoluments)	10/5/2018	<p>1. Any emoluments, payments, and/or communications that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patti Olfati, Patty Olfati, Olfati, and/or [REDACTED].</p> <p>2. Any and all other emoluments, payments and/or communications with or to Cecil Taylor, Barb Andres, and/or any resident of [REDACTED] regardless of purpose.</p> <p>3. Any and all entries to or activity at [REDACTED] including but not limited to any break-ins, damage, or tampering.</p> <p>4. Any and all employment for any relatives of Cecil Taylor and/or Barb Andres, including but not limited to any brother of Barb Andres or Barbara Andres of [REDACTED].</p> <p>5. Reports of any communication with Barb Andres or Barbara Andres of [REDACTED].</p> <p>6. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed or are otherwise available, please provide the records of their destruction, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-02057	Parvin, Olfati (fungus)	10/5/2018	<p>1. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any entries to 1859 45th Street, including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services;</p> <p>2. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any placement of fungi substance or any dry rot substance at [REDACTED] including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services;</p> <p>3. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any placement of any fungi substance or dry rot substance (including but not limited to toxics) at [REDACTED] including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>







1996, and subsequently became prohibited from owning firearms (id. at § 30000, subd. (b)). The law prohibits the distribution of the information collected pursuant to these statutes to specified persons, primarily law enforcement officers, identified in Penal Code section 11105, subdivisions (b) and (c). (See id. at §§ 11105, subd. (b), 11106, subd. (a)(2), & 30000, subd. (b) .) Members of the public making California Public Records Act requests are not identified on the list of persons who can receive records pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.

As such, we cannot provide you with the records you seek pursuant to a California Public Records Act request. (See also Cal. Const., art. I, § 1 [protecting California citizen's right to privacy]; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) (incorporating other provisions and exceptions regarding the distribution of records and information into the Public Records Act).) To the extent your Public Records Act request seeks records and/or information about an individual's eligibility to own a firearm—even if you purport the individual about whom you are inquiring is yourself—your request is denied. Notwithstanding our denial of your Public Records Act request, it is possible for an individual who wants to check his own personal eligibility to own a firearm to do so. However, this request must be made using procedures that allow the Department to verify that the individual purporting to seek information about himself is, in fact, who he says he is. In order for an individual to determine if he is eligible to possess, receive, own, or purchase a firearm she must to submit a Personal Firearms Eligibility Check (PFEC) form to the Department, along with a copy her California Driver License or Identification Card, and a check or money order for the fee of \$20. The form must be signed and notarized, and include an impression of the individual's right thumbprint. If the individual seeking to determine his eligibility is a non-U.S. citizen, he must also submit a copy of her Alien Registration or I-94 Card. For your convenience, a copy of the form one would use to make a request for a PFEC is included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs.

PR A-2018-02009	Warburton (Pleasanton USD records)	Joanne	10/8/2018	All fees and costs paid to lawyers by Pleasanton (California) Unified School District for special education disputes or legal disputes under IDEA for the last 5 years (October 2013 to present).			<p>Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program. (Available at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs</a>.)</p> <p>Additionally, if the results of a PFEC indicate that a determination of the requestor's eligibility to possess firearms cannot be made, and the requestor disagrees with that result, the requestor may request a summary of his California criminal history record by completing a "Request for Live Scan Service" form. However, a person's criminal history record will not reveal whether he is disqualified from owning a firearm due to prohibition categories that are not based on prior criminal convictions. Other factors that might impact the ability of a person to own a firearm include mental health status, the existence of restraining order against the individual, whether the person is a wanted person, and whether the person is subject to certain applicable federal prohibitions.</p> <p>For your convenience, a copy of the form you would use to make a request for a PFEC, and the form you would use to make a Request for Live Scan Service are included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs, Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program. (Available at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs</a>.)</p>			Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Pleasanton Unified School District, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PR A-2018-	Salazar (CH, CDCR records)	Daniel	10/8/2018	I need my records of incarceration from 2008 to 2012 I did a four-year prison term in old Folsom CA state prison and CCA prison in Sayre Oklahoma which is a privatized prison housing California inmates. I need to present those to a judge to prove I was incarcerated at the time I missed a court date in 2008 do to incarceration at a CA state prison. So I need proof of incarceration from 2008 to 2012. My CDC number was AA3025.				Completed	Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>To the extent that you are seeking prison and/or parole records from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, we must decline</p>	

020 10				Daniel J Salazar. AA3025 Dob. <span style="background-color: black; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span>				<p>your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a></p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 020 11	Dela Rosa Rodrigue z (personal records)	Hector	10/8/ 2018	Hi. Im Hector mauro dela rosa Rodriguez. I want to order my record for personal propose		Completed	Erin Nickles s	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available</p>

PR A-2018-02012	Dugue (LA Superior Court Records)	Keith	10/8/2018	<p>1. Los Angeles Superior Court Odyssey Judge's edition and Clerk's Edition training material and operators manuals.</p> <p>2. List of errors , problems and kinds of damage created by Odyssey.</p> <p>In superior court it not clear what the judge is doing up their and how the case file can be presented to him/her and how his/her view of the case file differs from mine. What can the clerk do with the case file. What kinds of error can occur?</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Los Angeles Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PR A-2018-02012	Fox (LA FD records)	Robert	10/8/2018	<p>PATIENT TRANSPORT BEST PRACTICES (THIS DOCUMENT INFORMS MEDICAL TRANSPORT PERSONNEL ABOUT WHERE TO TAKE PATIENTS) THIS L.A. FIRE DEPT- DOCUMENT CONTAINS "911"-MEDICAL TRANSPORT INFORMATION). IT DESCRIBES WHERE PATIENTS ARE TAKEN--WHAT HOSPITAL, E/R ETC.-THE CLOSEST; THE MOST QUALIFIED, ETC</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, §</p>

020 13						6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Los Angeles Fire Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office.				
PR A-2018-02014	Tan	Edward	10/8/2018	Any and all public records		Completed	Erin Nickless			<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are requesting protective order information, we must deny your request. The California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) is a statewide database designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via</p>
PR A-2018-02015	Jang (emergency protective order)	Heewon	10/8/2018	emergency protective order in February 2nd, 2018, in Santa Clara County, through SUDPS		Completed	Jaimie Tackett			

PR A-2018-02016	Jones	Eliza beth	10/8/2018	ssn: [REDACTED], dob: [REDACTED], criminal case from lake county, ca in 1988, such as case # and any other information.				the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the California Department of Justice (DOJ), is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from the courts as these records are public, or the Stanford University Department of Public Safety.
					Completed	Erin Nickless		To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.  To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PR A-201	Ramachandra (DV)	Jana ni	10/8/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records. I am specifically looking for the number of Domestic Violence Restraining Orders filed in Alameda County Superior Courts from 1/1/2017 until 12/31/2017, and the numbers of Domestic Violence Restraining Orders	Assigned	Jaimie Tackett		On October 19, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.

8-02017	restrainin g orders)			that were ultimately granted, and also, the number of those that were dropped, and those that were denied in that same time period.			
PR A-2018-02018	Conroy	Dottie	10/8/2018	I am requesting any court case records for Jacinda Raiche [REDACTED] and/or Robert Burdette [REDACTED]).	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-02019	Hightower	Marc	10/8/2018	Death Certificate for the following people? Judy Higgins married to Robert A. Higgins [REDACTED] Norman t. Ruuggles [REDACTED] I am looking for these people because they are witnesses to a will my father left me on 12/31/86.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PR A-2018-02020	Henriks	Rolf	10/8/2018	Copy of my original completed application form for permanent Disabled Parking Placard, showing diagnosis and signature of authorizing physician. I believe this was executed in 1986, or thereabouts. My information: CDL [REDACTED] Current 2019 Placard no. [REDACTED] Current License Tag: [REDACTED] If the exact document is not available or has been digitized, a screen shot or any record showing date, diagnosis, and doctors name may be sufficient. I need evidence corroborating the fact that I was declared permanently disabled and on which date. The authorizing physician is now retired and his records destroyed.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks copies of records submitted for a Parking Placard. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting how to contact the Department of Motor Vehicles can be found at <a href="https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/dmv/dmfooter2/contactus">https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/dmv/dmfooter2/contactus</a> .

PR A-2018-02021	Irwin	Brenna	10/8/2018	Police Report from Battery - May 2006, at [REDACTED]. Where I was the victim Brenna Jane Preston [REDACTED]. Anaheim Police Department had responded and prosecuted against Scott Douglas Preston DOB [REDACTED]. Orange County court does not have information as the records were purged from time passed.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PR A-2018-02023	Zhang	Min	10/8/2018	Denti-Cal Beneficiary Handbook from 2007-2017; Denti-Cal Provider Handbook from 2007 - 2017. I'm a graduate student in Virginia Commonwealth University. The handbooks will provide information needed for my research project. Thank you!	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Denti-Cal, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-	Ramachandra	Jana	10/8/2018	I would like to request for data on the number of police arrests made on the basis of domestic violence charges from 1/1/2017 to 12/31/2017 within Alameda County, and the number of such arrests made against women, and those made against men. Within this sample of arrests, I would also like to request the number of arrests on the basis of domestic violence that were made when violating an existing restraining order.	Assigned	Jaimie Tackett	On October 19, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.





							Opinion (8 pages) Petition for Rehearing and Suggestion (6 pages) U.S. Supreme Court, Case No. 03-1028: Petition for Writ of Certiorari (86 pages) Opinion (6 pages) Petition for Rehearing (13 pages) The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$.10 per page. An additional charge would be due to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. You may avoid this additional fee by arranging to pick up the documents at the address above. Please indicate which, if any, of the above documents you would like us to provide so I can calculate the copying and postage fees. Please send the letter directly to my attention at the above address. I will then let you know the final amount for copying and postage and provide instructions for payment.
PR A-2018-02027	Wilson (OSHA records)	Ty	10/9/2018	(1) The entire inspection file in connection with OSHA inspection number 314864687. For a further description of this accident investigation please see this link directed to the OSHA inspection file webpage: <a href="https://www.osha.gov/plis/imis/establishment.inspection_detail?id=314864687">https://www.osha.gov/plis/imis/establishment.inspection_detail?id=314864687</a> (2) In addition, I request the entire inspection file in connection with OSHA inspection number 314704438. For a further description of this accident investigation please see this link directed to the OSHA inspection file webpage: <a href="https://www.osha.gov/plis/imis/establishment.inspection_detail?id=314704438">https://www.osha.gov/plis/imis/establishment.inspection_detail?id=314704438</a>	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of another governmental agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the United States Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Information on how to request Public Records from them can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.dol.gov/general/foia">https://www.dol.gov/general/foia</a> .
PR A-2018-02028	Williams	Mary	10/9/2018	Case No. SF2001AD0643 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE REMEDIES. Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs - Plaintiff v. California Master Trust, Funeral Directors Service Corporation, The California Funeral Directors Association, Comerica Bank, Mechanics Bank, Association Resource Center,.....Defendants I would like all pertinent filings and informations regarding the outcome of the lawsuit.	Completed	Yunah Chung	This email responds to the Public Records Request that you submitted, seeking "all pertinent filings and informations regarding the outcome of the lawsuit." Case Number SF2001AD0643. As we discussed over the phone on October 15, the documents pertaining to that case have been purged in accordance with the office's retention policy. We have, however, identified a 2011 case that was filed in the Los Angeles Superior

PR A-2018-02029	Musser (complaints)	Ashleigh	10/9/2018	<p>All Documents or Complaints related to any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Dental Board of California License No. 50324</li> </ul> <p>All Documents or Complaints related to any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Moheb, DMD, Inc.</li> <li>o Trancas Dental Group</li> <li>o Alireza Moheb, DMD, Inc.</li> <li>o Unlimited Smiles</li> <li>o Unlimited Smiles Napa</li> <li>o Unlimited Smiles Martinez</li> <li>o Unlimited Smiles Vacaville</li> <li>o Unlimited Smiles Walnut Creek</li> <li>o Unlimited Smiles San Miguel Dental Group</li> <li>o San Miguel Dental Group</li> <li>o Alamo Family Dental Care</li> <li>o Alamo Family Dental Group,</li> <li>o Unlimited Smiles Oakland</li> <li>o Oakland Smile Center</li> <li>o R Moheb DMD, A Dental Corporation</li> <li>o Unlimited Smile LLC Dental Group</li> <li>o Alhambra Dental Group</li> <li>o A. Moheb DMD A Dental Corporation</li> <li>o A.R. Moheb DMD A Dental Corporation</li> <li>o Ali Moheb DMD A Dental Corporation</li> <li>o Alireza Moheb DMD A Dental Corporation</li> <li>o Unlimited Smiles LLC</li> <li>o Dr. Alireza Moheb</li> </ul>			Completed	Jonathan Cooper	<p>Court and captioned Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs v. California Master Trust et al., that we believe is relevant to your request. Please find attached (1) the complaint for injunctive relief, and (2) the court's final judgment in that case. Should you need additional information, please let us know.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but may also seek records from other departments, such as the Dental Board of California. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In response to your request, on behalf of the Department of Justice only, we are providing the following documents, all of which pertain to Dental Board of California case number DBC 2011-15:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A letter, dated December 24, 2012, addressed to Alireza Moheb along with the Board's Decision and Order;</li> <li>2. A letter, dated November 9, 2012, addressed to the Presiding Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings.</li> <li>3. A letter, dated October 25, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb.</li> <li>4. A letter, dated October 22, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb.</li> <li>5. A copy of the Accusation and related documents.</li> <li>6. A letter, dated November 1, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb.</li> <li>7. A copy of a Notice of Hearing.</li> <li>8. A letter, dated June 14, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb.</li> <li>9. A letter, dated June 6, 2012, from Jennifer Seelig.</li> <li>10. A letter, dated April 27, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb, with attachments.</li> </ol> <p>We have redacted the letter and attachments to delete patient information.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11. A letter, dated December 27, 2011, to the Office of Administrative Hearings.</li> <li>12. A letter, dated May 1, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb.</li> <li>13. A letter, dated April 30, 2012, to counsel for Alireza Moheb.</li> <li>14. A letter, dated February 14, 2012,</li> </ol>
-----------------	---------------------	----------	-----------	--	--	--	-----------	-----------------	--



																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								</
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

			<p>2004-00394 Judgment 85 Brimer v. Hearthmark, Inc., et al. CV 045306</p> <p>2004-00402 Judgment 129 Leeman v. Indiana Glass Company CGC-04-437017</p> <p>2004-00405 Judgment 87 Leeman v. Precidio, Inc. CGC-04-436841</p> <p>2004-00425 Judgment 84 Brimer v. K&amp;M Nordic Company, Inc. RG-05-192649</p> <p>2004-00426 Judgment 172 Brimer v. Dave &amp; Buster's, Inc. 105CV034242</p> <p>2004-00427 Judgment 419 Brimer v. Raley's CV-0500000928</p> <p>2004-00473 Judgment 127 Brimer v. Kohl's CGC-05-438954</p> <p>2004-00482 Judgment 130 Brimer v. House of Blues CGC-05-438712</p> <p>2004-00489 Judgment 73 Brimer v. Ganz, Inc., et al. CGC-05-439990</p> <p>2004-00491 Judgment 133 Brimer v. Hunter Manufacturing Group, Inc. CGC-05-439569</p> <p>2004-00493 Judgment 136 Brimer v. Universal Screen Arts, Inc. CGC-05-439564</p> <p>2004-00493 Judgment 138 Brimer v. Universal Screen Arts, Inc., et al. CGC-05-439564</p> <p>2005-00003 Judgment 98 Brimer v. The Madden Corporation CGC-05-439568</p> <p>2005-00007 Judgment 214 Brimer v. Beverages and More CGC-05-444270</p> <p>2005-00041 Judgment 1074 Brimer v. Boelter CGC-05-440811</p> <p>2005-00093 Judgment 109 Brimer v. Enesco Group, Inc. CGC-05-440239</p> <p>2005-00099 Judgment 192 Brimer v. Anthropologie CGC-05-445622</p> <p>2005-00210 Judgment 302 Brimer v. Archie McPhee HG06264912</p> <p>2005-00214 Judgment 221 Brimer v. Uncommongoods CGC-05-445626</p> <p>2005-00275 Judgment 324 Brimer v. Petro CGC-06-447009</p> <p>2005-00315 Judgment 351 Brimer v. Lion Supermarket CGC-05-447016</p> <p>2005-00328 Judgment 350 Brimer v. Bird In Hand CGC-05-447014</p> <p>2005-00383 Judgment 187 People v. Sun Shops, Inc. CV-153031</p> <p>2005-00388 Judgment 370 Brimer v. Darioush 26-34343</p> <p>2005-00391 Judgment 326 Brimer v. PW Supermarkets HG06287673</p> <p>2005-00393 Judgment 189 People v. Judlar, et al CV-153033</p> <p>2005-00395 Judgment 303 Brimer v. Fuddruckers RG06250344</p> <p>2005-00402 Judgment 182 Brimer v. Faygo Beverages CGC 05-447595</p> <p>2005-00405 Judgment 244 Brimer v. CBS CGC-05-447602</p> <p>2005-00409 Judgment 217 Brimer v. Overstock.com CGC-05-447603</p> <p>2005-00411 Judgment 327 Brimer v. Busch Entertainment CGC-05-447598</p> <p>2005-00432 Judgment 313 Brimer v. Bristol Farms BC353251</p> <p>2005-00433 Judgment 223 Brimer v. Pepsi-Cola Bottling CGC-05-447641</p> <p>2005-00560 Judgment 237 Brimer v. Fuddruckers CGC-06-449-026</p> <p>2005-00582 Judgment 443 Brimer v. McLaughlin 1-06-CV-071138</p> <p>2005-00584 Judgment 211 Brimer v. Blue Sky CGC-06-452652</p> <p>2005-00588 Judgment 246 Leeman v. Bryan's CGC-06-449153</p> <p>2005-00594 Judgment 317 Brimer v. Shun Fat OAS04218</p> <p>2005-00599 Judgment 336 Brimer v. Berkeley Bowl RG06290900</p> <p>2005-00610 Judgment 312 Brimer v. Eden 106CV057257</p> <p>2005-00612 Judgment 220 Brimer v. Hyler CGC-06-449000</p> <p>2005-00613 Judgment 487 Brimer v. Martin Weyrich Winery, LLC CV-06-0786</p> <p>2005-00619 Judgment 245 Brimer v. Nordstrom CGC-06-451464</p> <p>2005-00622 Judgment 399 Brimer v. Dole CGC-06-449070</p> <p>2005-00623 Judgment 238 Brimer v. South Coast CGC-06-449074</p> <p>2005-00628 Judgment 378 Brimer v. Straus Family Creamery, Inc. CGC-06-449080</p> <p>2005-00638 Judgment 195 Brimer v. Specialty Sports Ventures CGC-06-449027</p> <p>2006-00061 Judgment 335 Brimer v. Screen-It HG06261405</p> <p>2006-00082 Judgment 298 Brimer v. Bacardi CGC-06-453355</p> <p>2006-00169 Judgment 288 Brimer v. Mandarin Soy CGC-06-453880</p> <p>2006-00182 Judgment 311 Brimer v. Valley Han Kook BC352505</p> <p>2006-00274 Judgment 323 Leeman v. Dr. Pepper CGC-06-452796</p> <p>2006-00503 Judgment 379 Brimer v. A &amp; W Bottling Company, Inc. RG06302048</p> <p>2006-00679 Judgment 400 Brimer v. World of 98 Cents 612633</p> <p>2006-00681 Judgment 334 Leeman v. Nugget Market CGC-07-462826</p> <p>2006-00682 Judgment 504 Brimer v. U.S. Trading Company, Inc. RG07325392</p> <p>2006-00698 Judgment 451 MEJF v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. 07-461728</p> <p>2007-00004 Judgment 433 Brimer v. Solo Cup CGC-07-462137</p> <p>2007-00040 Judgment 742 Brimer v. Southwest Specialty Foods, Inc. CGC-08-474309</p> <p>2007-00046 Judgment 606 Brimer v. Golden Orchid CGC-07-464845</p> <p>2007-00063 Judgment 486 Brimer v. Whole Foods CGC-06-457886</p> <p>2007-00091 Judgment 652 Leeman v. Raleys dba Nob Hill Foods, et al. RG07335317</p>			<p>search. You can locate the consent judgments by searching for the AG Number. We do not have hard copies of consent judgments that are not on the website, since they would be the older judgments that are beyond our office's retention policy. However, we have uploaded many of the older consent judgments to the website as well, so it is worth checking for all of them. If you are not able to access or reproduce the consent judgments that are on the website, then please notify us to we can provide copies.</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

PR A-2018-02032	Arnold (NRA)	Kendra	10/9/2018	<p>2008-00241 Judgment 1274 Brimer v. Daiso California, LLC, et al. RG09436586</p> <p>2010-00287 Judgment 1241 MEJF v. Safeway, Inc. and Ben Myerson Candy Co. CGC-09-486680</p> <p>2010-00643 Judgment 1496 Brimer v. ICUP, Inc., et al. CGC-11-508222</p> <p>2011-00841 Judgment 1683 Brimer v. Capitol Records, LLC, et al. 112CV216180</p> <p>2011-00908 Judgment 1787 Brimer v. Evriholder Products, LLC, et al. 112CV224755</p> <p>2011-00913 Judgment 1821 Brimer v. National Entertainment Collectables, et al. CIV1200562</p> <p>2011-00965 Judgment 1725 Brimer v. Vantor CGC-12-523859</p> <p>2014-00149 Judgment 2332 Isabel Novak v. Target Corporation, et al. BC523095</p> <p>2014-00562 Judgment 2822 Brimer v. Taylor Precision Products, Inc. CGC-14-542200</p> <p>2014-00873 Judgment 2773 Moore v. Artland, Inc. RG15759001</p> <p>2014-01058 Judgment 3121 Laurence Vinocur v. Epic Products, Inc., et al. CGC-15-543578</p> <p>2014-01379 Judgment 3034 Wozniak v. Nordstrom, Inc. CIV1502330</p> <p>2015-01225 Judgment 3321 Leeman v. Beam Suntory Inc. CGC16550527</p> <p>2015-01229 Judgment 3480 Leeman v. Home Essentials &amp; Beyond, Inc. 16CV291329</p> <p>2017-01500 Judgment 3758 Englander v. Global Amici, Inc. 17CV317191</p> <p>2017-01643 Judgment 3657 Vinocur v. Creative Co-op, Inc. CGC-17-561544</p> <p>2017-01855 Judgment 3916 Englander v. Polaris Industries Inc. CGC-17-562780</p> <p>2017-01863 Judgment 3876 Englander v. Woolrich, Inc. CGC-17-562367</p>	Found ation for Accountability and Civic Trust	Completed	Public Records	We checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we did not identify any documents responsive to this request.
PR A-2018-02065	Larsen	Keri	10/9/2018	<p>Documents and communications between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and any other Attorney General, Governor, or state insurance official (such as an insurance commissioner, director, subordinate, etc.) that mention the "National Rifle Association" (NRA or N.R.A.), "NRA Carry Guard", "Carry Guard", or "Carry Guard Insurance."</p> <p>Documents and communications between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and any other Attorney General, Governor, or state insurance official (such as an insurance commissioner, director, subordinate, etc.) that mention "LegalShield", "U.S. LawShield", and "USCCA Self-Defense Insurance."</p> <p>Copies of all documents and communications between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and any other Attorney General, Governor, or state insurance official (such as an insurance commissioner, director, subordinate, etc.) mentioning both the NRA and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o "excess line insurance"</li> <li>o "excess line market"</li> <li>o "excess line broker"</li> <li>o "affinity program(s)"</li> <li>o "insurance brokerage firm"</li> <li>o "Retired Law Enforcement Officer Self-Defense Insurance"</li> <li>o "ArmsCare Plus Firearms Insurance"</li> <li>o "No Cost ArmsCare Firearms Insurance"</li> <li>o "Firearms Instructor Plus Liability Insurance"</li> <li>o "Personal Firearms Protection Insurance"</li> <li>o "Gun Collectors Insurance"</li> <li>o "Gun Club Insurance"</li> <li>o NRA Business Alliance Insurance"</li> <li>o "Gun Show Insurance"</li> <li>o "Home-Based Federal Firearms License Insurance"</li> <li>o "liability coverage" and/or "liability insurance"</li> </ul>	Found ation for Accountability and Civic Trust	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>

PR A-2018-02034	Osowski	Jason	10/10/2018	I would like my Live scan results and all records obtained and used in the decision that will be made. (Hopefully soon) I have had Fraud and would like to see what is on my complete criminal and DMV records. Thank you for your time		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a> . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PR A-2018-02035	Connolly Erica		10/10/2018	Victor Calde aka Vincent [REDACTED]		Completed		
PR A-2018-02036	Kenner-Bowen	Tanya	10/10/2018	1. What provider(s), if any, currently provide PDMP software management services to the state? 2. A copy of any contracts between the state and Contractors who provide PDMP-related services from 2016 until present.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to item (1), the DOJ does not have responsive documents for this request. In regard to item (2), the DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PR A-2018-02037	Martinez Cabrera	Alma	10/10/2018	I am looking for a case file regarding domestic abuse with the defendant Maricela Cabrera Nino against Alma Martinez and Alejandro Lopez as well as case files with the defendant Humberto Lopez against Maricela Cabrera and information on how to obtain any physiological and psychological exams for Alma Martinez. The case would have been filed in 2001/2002 in Lake Forest CA. Thank you		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you



PR A-201	Rensin (case records)	Emmett	10/10/2018	October 29, 2018 follow up: Thank you so much for these. A number of pages, particularly in the records of the March 22, 2006 parole hearing (your final email) appear to be missing--sometimes a few, sometimes dozens at a time. I wanted to make sure the remaining pages were lost and not accidentally excluded here.				<p>should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights</a>.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case name or case number, please provide that to us.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking physiological and psychological records, we must decline your request for the following reasons.</p> <p>Records Not in the Possession of the Department of Justice</p> <p>DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking medical records, you may wish to direct your request to the doctor or hospital from which treatment was received.</p> <p>While our office may at times receive medical records as evidence related to cases, these are usually unique and rare occurrences and would either be considered discovery, or fall under the exemption cited below for medical records. In discovery during civil litigation unrelated to the Public Records Act, Evidence Code section 1040 governs and precludes our office from disclosing medical records that are part of discovery proceedings. Medical Records</p> <p>Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.</p>	<p>October 30, 2018 response: The documents provided to you are as they appear in our records.</p>
----------	-----------------------	--------	------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

<p>8-02038</p>	<p>October 22, 2018 clarification: Thank you so much for response. I'm happy to receive all the records you have--the hundreds of documents-- from the 1986 and 1988 cases via email: no need to mail. And please do keep me apprised about the parole hearings as they're reviewed.</p> <p>October 10, 2018 request: I am writing to request all documents related to the trial, sentencing, and subsequent parole hearings for Herbert William Mullin (CDCR #B51410), who is currently incarcerated in Mule Creek State Prison. The trial and sentencing took place in Santa Cruz, CA, in 1973. Subsequent parole hearings have occurred on February 17, 2011, March 22, 2006, December 27, 2001, August 15, 1996, September 17, 1991, June 21, 1991, June 16, 1988, June 14, 1985, and May 14, 1982. Please provide all transcripts, summaries, and any other document containing the name "Herbert Mullin", "Herbert William Mullin", "Herbert W. Mullin", or CDCR #B51410, either by email or by physical mail to the address listed above. Thank you.</p>	<p>October 25, 2018 fees letter: The last case we have related to Mr. Mullin is a 2008 federal habeas case filed in U.S. District Court, Herbert Mullin v. Martel, case No. 08-cv-01233. The case file contains the following responsive documents:</p> <p>Petition for Habeas Corpus, filed 05/29/08, challenging March 22, 2006 parole hearing, 172 pages</p> <p>Order requiring response, filed 07/13/10, 2 pages</p> <p>AG Motion to Dismiss, filed 09/10/10, 5 pages</p> <p>Exhibits to motion to dismiss, 461 pages</p> <p>Motion for Appointment of Counsel, filed 10/01/10, 4 pages</p> <p>Order denying motion for counsel, filed 10/18/10, 2 pages</p> <p>Petitioner's motion to withdraw habeas, filed 10/26/10, 2 pages</p> <p>Order Dismissing Action pursuant to Motion to Withdraw, filed 11/01/10, 1 page</p> <p>Please inform me if you want to receive any of these documents.</p> <p>October 22, 2018 response: We have identified several cases that may contain responsive documents. Please be informed that the criminal appeal filed by Mr. Mullen in 1973 is no longer in existence and we have no records related to that case.</p> <p>We have records in a 1986 federal habeas corpus case filed in U.S. District Court, Herbert Mullin v. Estelle, case No. C 84-20082-RPA. This case file contains hundreds of documents including the legal briefs and court orders.</p> <p>We have records in a 1988 federal appeal case filed in the Ninth Circuit, Herbert Mullin v. Estelle, case No. 88-1660. This case file contains hundreds of documents including the legal briefs and court orders.</p> <p>In order to assist us, please describe the specific type of documents or category of documents you are seeking from these files. The documents may be provided to you by email. If you want copies mailed to you, this Office charges \$.10 per page and the cost of mailing. This Office is not authorized to provide copies of reporter's transcripts as they are the property of the court reporter and must be obtained directly from the reporter.</p> <p>Additional files have been located related to Mr. Mullin's parole hearings.</p>
----------------	---	---

PR A-2018-02039	Rad Abdul	10/10/2018	I am a data scientist for Campaign Zero, a police reform organization, and am inquiring on whether the California Attorney General Office compiles a list of police misconduct settlements awarded from civil lawsuits across cities in California. I understand that you may not compile this information but I wanted to confirm that this is the case prior to filing FOIA requests to individual cities. I appreciated all your help in this matter and thank you for your service.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We are still in the process of reviewing those files and will be in contact with you about those documents.  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In this instance, you may wish to contact the local police departments.
PR A-2018-02040	Crivello Emily	10/10/2018	Police Report: 15-102672 Officer: J. Tippetts Badge: 0259 Date: April 15, 2015 I am seeking some information regarding a report I filed on April 15, 2015. This report concerns a sexual assault. I did not get any information about this report, even after leaving voicemails for the detective back in 2015.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-02041	Oseman Joanna	10/10/2018	I am requesting copies of all current contracts for translation and interpreting services. Please let me know if there is any cost involved for approval - electronic copies are preferred. Thank you in advance.		Completed	Stacy Heinzen	Specifically, you requested copies of all current contracts for translation and interpreting services. Attached are copies of the following contracts: Contract# 17-4138 & Am. 1 Accent on Languages 7/1/17 - 6/30/19 \$25,000.00 Contract# 17-6327 Eaton Interpreting, Inc. 7/1/17 - 6/30/19 \$24,000.00 Contract# 17-6709 Interpreters Unlimited, Inc. 7/1/17 - 6/30/19 \$8,000.00 Contract# 12-6738 & Am. 1 - 5 Transcend Translations 2/27/13 - 6/30/19 \$149,000.00 Contract # 17-4417 Cal Interpreting and Translations, Inc. 4/1/18 - 3/31/20 \$30,000.00 Contract# 17-5058

PR A-2018-02050	Doueiri	Dany	10/10/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to an investigation about allegation of fraud launched against me by my employer. My name is Dany Doueiri, I am an Associate Professor, and my employer is the California State University, San Bernardino. The investigation took place between 2016 and 2018. I would like to have access to all communication (electronic, telephonic, print, or notes from interviews) between 1-1-2016 and 9-26-2018, in addition to supplied evidence/documentations that were related to the investigation and conclusions/findings of the Attorney General's office about the investigation. I prefer the response to be submitted in electronic format. I understand that there may be fees associated for searching/downloading/saving/copying/scanning/mailling these records.				Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)	Language People 7/1/17 - 6/30/19 \$9,000.00 Contract# 18-6327 Partners in Communication LLC 7/1/18 - 6/30/19 \$4,500.00
PR A-2018-01880	Nelson (SCNG) (Riverside USD)	Joseph	10/11/2018	Any copies of letters and/or other documentation and/or court records received in the last month by the California Department of Justice requesting an investigation by the Attorney General's Office into the Riverside Unified School District and/or any of its teachers and administrators. The letter/letters, I understand, are from attorneys at the law firm of Manly, Stewart & Finaldi.				Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)	
PR A-2018-02042	Hernandez (personal records)	Liza	10/11/2018	Personal record review Nothing on file at court of San Diego				Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR	

								and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PR A-2018-02043	Hansne (incident report)	Sarah	10/11/2018	I would like a copy of an incident report that I filed back in 2016 if possible. It was regarding Financial/identity theft. My address at the time was [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	
PR A-2018-02044	Jackson (9-11 transcript)	Sandra	10/11/2018	Please send me a copy of a 911 CALL I made on 08/08/2018 regarding a Domestic Violence from my phone [REDACTED] it was for additional help from Police needed. I need that transcript if possible. Case # SDR0055065	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking records related to a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	
PR A-2018-02044	White	Philip	10/11/2018	I wish to obtain a copy of the written response and any associated corrected/amended tax filings from La Jolla Parks and Beaches Incorporated (CT #0191118, SOS C3410746 and IRS EIN # 45-3281923) to The Attorney General's NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE REPORT dated May 5 2015 presented to the forementioned organization.	Completed	Tania M.	This email responds to your Public Records Request sent by email on October 11, 2018. You requested a	

8-02046			I was not able to find the organization's response to the NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE REPORT in the AG's on line depository. Perhaps the organization defied the request and never replied? Thank you for your help with matter		Ibanez, SAAG	copy of the organization's response to the Notice of Incomplete Report dated May 5, 2015. The Attorney General's Office sent the Notice requesting that the organization provide copies of its Schedule B for the IRS Form 990 submitted for the fiscal year ending 12/31/14. La Jolla submitted its Schedule B during May of 2015. This office cannot release a copy of the schedule b because this document is required to be maintained as a confidential nonpublic document pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 11, section 310. Subdivision (b). This organization is current in its reporting requirements.	
PR A-2018-02048	Siders (Politico) (PRA Logs)	David	10/11/2018	I'm trying to lay some groundwork for 2020 coverage. Could I make a PRA request for any log of PRA requests that you keep on file? And can you consider this email that request? To be clear – I'm not asking for the voluminous responses: just the incoming requests. I also don't have a timeframe in mind, but I'd like not to cut it short if you have a lengthier log. Thanks!	Assigned	Erin Nickless	October 11, 2018 request for mailing address: Our office has Public Records Act logs dating back to January 2010. At this time, we are able to produce logs from January 2010 through May 31, 2018. However, we need additional time to generate logs for June 1, 2018 through the date of your request, and to redact personal information. We anticipate completing the logs for June and July within two weeks, but will require a minimum of 12 weeks to prepare the September and October logs. We will produce these logs as they are completed on a rolling basis. Due to the size of the log files, we are unable to email them. Please provide us with a mailing address so that we can provide the files on a compact disc. Please let us know if you have any questions.
PR A-2018-02078	Stollings (enforcement of PRA)	Richard	10/11/2018	Who is responsible for enforce the California Open Records Request Laws? How do you contact that person?	Completed	Erin Nickless	When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.
PR A-201	Olfati (toxic)	Parvin	10/11/2018	Pursuant to the Public Records Act, the Information Practices Act, and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that the California Department of Justice produce to me any and all records of any and all use to any substances, toxic or otherwise, and/or remote devices at any location that are targeted at [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.



			<p>c. The use of all or part of the Property by anyone, including without limitation the State and/or CCSF from 1906 to current date.</p> <p>4. Property Leases: Records of any leases of the Property including, without limitation, any leases of all or part of the Property for parking purposes associated with sporting and other events at Candlestick Park.</p> <p>5. Dooling Lease: All records including, without limitation, all SLC files and correspondence, relating to the lease to Jack K. Dooling, believed to have been an attorney in San Francisco, such lease identified as No. 835.1 Public Resources Code (P.R.C. 835.1) and dated on or about December 8, 1953, including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. All assignments and all amendments to such leases;</p> <p>b. All correspondence reflecting or relating to such leases;</p> <p>c. All records indicating or referring to whether the leased premises were graded, excavated, filled, or improved with railroad tracks, buildings, or other improvements; and</p> <p>d. All boundary, topographic and other surveys of the leased premises and all photographs of the leased premises taken before or during the lease term.</p> <p>6. San Francisco Property Information Map Web Site: All records relating to the information provided for the Property on <a href="http://propertymap.sfparking.org/">http://propertymap.sfparking.org/</a> including, without limitation, for each parcel of the Property shown below (i) the street address (unless provided below), (ii) a copy of the current property tax bill and (iii) the following:</p> <p>a. Tide Land Block 432 (n/k/a Block 4813, Lot 025): 1979 planning application and other documents reflecting or relating to "storage of towed vehicles" any other planning applications, building permits, business licenses and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>b. Tide Land Block 464 (n/k/a Block 4845, Lot 002): [Address: 1225 Yosemite Ave. SF. CA 94124 and description: "1955 1-story industrial building"] Any planning applications, building permits, business licenses, leases, property tax bills reflecting or pertaining to this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>c. Tide Land Block 488 (n/k/a Block 4852, Lot 001): 1981 planning application and other documents reflecting or relating to "Auto dismantling facility" any other planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>d. Tide Land Block 489 (n/k/a Block 4853, Lot 003): Any planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>e. Tide Land Block 490 (n/k/a Block 4876, Lot 011 ): Any planning application and other documents reflecting or relating to "Auto wrecking". any other planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>f. Tide Land Block 512 &amp; 513 (n/k/a Block 4884, Lot 025): Any planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>g. Tide Land Block 875 (n/k/a Block 5027, Lot 014): Any planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>h. Tide Land Block 876 (n/k/a Block 5045, Lot 001 ): Any planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>7. 1917 Quiet Title Action: All documents reflecting or relating to the quiet title action titled H. D. Newhouse v. All Persons, etc., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 35. 117. judgment with respect to which was entered on or about October 18, 1917, including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. All court records;</p> <p>b. All records possessed or controlled by the SLC, CCSF, or other State agencies with respect to the above case including, without limitation, any correspondence or internal records with respect to the non-appearance of the State and CCSF in this case.</p> <p>8. Correspondence: Correspondence regarding all or any part of the Property among any or all of the SLC, the AG, CCSF, Charles Harney, City Title Insurance Company (or any other title insurance company), and San Francisco attorney Henry J. Rogers including, without limitation, correspondence between January 1, 1953 and December 31, 1958.</p> <p>9. Eminent Domain : Documents reflecting or relating to the proceeding identified in the Notice of Pendency of Proceeding in Eminent Domain by the Housing Authority of CCSF recorded April 18, 1955 in Book 6595 Page 24 of Official Records (appears to affect parcels 490, 512 and 513) including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. Any court or other documents relating to any eminent domain action affecting all or any part of the Property; and</p> <p>b. Any court or other documents relating to a sale in lieu of eminent domain affecting all or any part of the Property.</p> <p>10. Conveyances: Any records, including without limitation, correspondence and deeds or other conveyance instruments relating to purported conveyances of title to all or any part of the Property, including without limitation by the State to CCSF and/or any other governmental agencies, including, without limitation, records relating to the following:</p> <p>a. Patent from the State to CCSF recorded July 29, 1958 in Book 7337 at Page 305 (purportedly affecting Block 875 and other property);</p> <p>b. Agreement Relating to Transfer Of The Port of San Francisco From The State of California To The City and County of San Francisco, which does not contain conveyance language and contains a diagram of property location, recorded January 30, 1969 in Book B308 at Page 686 (purportedly affecting all 9 parcels of the Property);</p>			<p>occurred more than 100 years ago. Nevertheless, we have identified some files with responsive records and have identified one file in remote storage that has not yet been delivered or reviewed. We intend to provide records, in whole or in part, that are not otherwise exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Records protected by the attorney-client and attorney work product privileges will not be produced.</p> <p>Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Similarly, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>We anticipate having the non-exempt records available by November 21, 2018.</p> <p>On October 22, 2018, Michelle Mitchell sent an extension letter.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--



			<p>c. An unutilized document that recites, Description of the lands. in the City and County of San Francisco. State of California. transferred by the State of California. in trust. to the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to an act of the California Legislature, Chapter 1333, Statutes 1968, Page 2544, approved August 14, 1968 as amended by the California Legislature by Chapter 1296/1969, Chapter 1367/1969, Chapter 1400/1969, Chapter 147 4/1969 and Chapter 670/1970, recorded May 14, 1976 in Book C 169 at Page 573 of Official Records (appears to affect parcels 1 through 7 and 9 of the Property -- all parcels except Block 875).</p> <p>11. Candlestick Park Area: Deed to State in or about 1973 for acquisition by the State of land in the vicinity of Candlestick Park for a reported \$10 million, which later become all or part of the State Park known as the Candlestick Point Recreation Area, and any surveys of such property.</p> <p>12. Development: All records reflecting or relating to any development of all or part of the Property (with or without the involvement of private parties), such development to include buildings or other improvements as well as landscaping or similar improvements for parks or otherwise including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. With respect to the new "Alice Griffith" project (located on part of the Property), records evidencing (i) its construction and development, (ii) the current ownership and control of it, and (iii) the terms on which occupancy of it is being offered (i.e., lease forms and current rental rates for rental apartments or sale contracts and current asking prices for condominiums).</p> <p>13. Appraisal: That certain "Appraisal Report, Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point Redevelopment Project Site, San Francisco, California" dated in or about April, 2010, and any updates of it including, without limitation, that dated October, 2010 prepared by Clifford Associates.</p> <p>14. Dredging/Filling: All records with respect to dredging or filling, or the topography, regarding all or any part of the Property since 1868 including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. All records, contracts, or leases reflecting or regarding the grading, filling or dredging regarding all or any part of the Property by or on behalf of the State or CCSF (please note that all of the Property was located within a tideland and marsh area prior to 1868; all of the Property was designated for development pursuant to an 1868 State statute; all but Blocks 512 and 513 were referenced in a 1954 letter from the State (believed to be the SLC) to be submerged; and today all but Blocks 875 and 876 are upland (Blocks 875 and 876 are believed to be under water in the Bay)).</p> <p>b. All records designating or depicting all or any part of the Property as being in (i) an upland, non-submerged or similar area and/or (ii) a lowland, submerged or similar area.</p> <p>15. Tax Sales: The following records with respect to CCSF assessing or imposing property taxes on what was in fact, or believed by CCSF to be, State-owned land including the Property or any portion of it:</p> <p>a. All correspondence and other records between the State and CCSF regarding tax sales of any portion of the Property;</p> <p>b. All court and other records from cases in which CCSF has been sued with respect to assessing or imposing property taxes on real estate believed at the time to be and/or in fact owned by the State;</p> <p>c. All court and other records from cases in which CCSF has been sued with respect to CCSF purporting to convey title to real estate believed at the time to be and/or in fact owned by the State to private citizen tax buyers at CCSF tax sales; and</p> <p>d. Any internal records of CCSF regarding its issuance of property tax bills for its taxation of State-owned property.</p> <p>16. Use: All records reflecting or relating to the use, possession, occupation, trespass or adverse possession of all or part of the Property including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. Any notices given, claims made or lawsuits filed by the State for possession, occupation, use, trespass or adverse possession of all or part of the Property between 1906 and 1970 including, without limitation, against the Southern Pacific and Western Pacific Railroad Companies, Henry A. Whitley, Sterling Investment Company, Jack K. Dooling, Charles L. Harney and CCSF;</p> <p>b. All records of the SLC, other State agencies, and CCSF with respect to their decision to not complain, object to, or initiate litigation with respect to the possession, occupation, use, trespass or adverse possession of all or part of the Property by anyone between 1906 and 1970;</p> <p>c. Any records of the SLC, other State agencies, and CCSF granting an easement, license or a other right or permission to anyone to use all or part of the Property between 1906 and 1970.</p> <p>17. Whitley Family. Any records relating to Henry A. Whitley Family including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. Henry A. Whitley, individually, believed to have been a resident of the City of San Francisco;</p> <p>b. Ariel Lorne Whitley, wife of Henry A. Whitley;</p> <p>c. Ruth Whitley Paulus, daughter of Henry A. Whitley;</p> <p>d. Communications with any member of the Henry A. Whitley Family and Phoebe Apperson Hearst</p> <p>e. State income tax records, marriage license, vehicular registration, license plates records and other records for any above-stated member of the Henry A. Whitley</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

PR A-2018-02126	Hedger Christine	10/11/2018	<p>Family;</p> <p>f. Engineering or other professional license issued to Henry A. Whitley;</p> <p>g. Any construction or building permits issued to Henry A. Whitley including, without limitation, permits for grading the terminals for the Western Pacific at Oakland and vicinity;</p> <p>h. The steam shovel, dredging, oil, mining and any other businesses owned or managed by or on behalf of Henry A. Whitley in the State of California;</p> <p>i. Records of Henry A. Whitley being a member of the International Brotherhood of Steam Shovel and Dredge Men; and</p> <p>j. Any contracts for dredging, excavation, earth-moving, construction or other matters entered into by the State or any of its agencies or CCSF and Henry A. Whitley.</p> <p>k. Any documents reflecting business or family connections between Henry A. Whitley and any member of the Hearst Family between 1906 and 1957.</p> <p>18. Quiet Title Action: All court records from the quiet title action titled Henry A. Whitley v. All Persons, etc., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 43164 filed April 19, 1954 including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. All records regarding this case between April 19, 1954 and October 22, 1957;</p> <p>b. A copy of the "deed of grant, bargain and sale" from Sterling Investment Company to Henry A. Whitley dated on or about December 29, 1953, which is expressly referenced in the Affidavit of Henry A. Whitley filed in that case (the "Affidavit") as described above.</p> <p>c. All non-court records of the SLC, other State agencies and CCSF with respect to this case including, without limitation, any correspondence or internal records with respect to the non-appearance of the State and CCSF to in this case.</p> <p>19. Whitley Probate Action. All court records from the case titled In the Matter of the Estate of Henry A. Whitley, San Francisco Superior Court No. 141493 filed October 22, 1957 including, without limitation, any records relating to all or any part of the Property.</p> <p>20. Whitley Probate. Any records of or communications involving Crocker-Anglo Bank or a successor regarding probate of Estate of Henry A. Whitley, number 141493, City and County of San Francisco.</p> <p>21. Sterling Investment Company. All records regarding Sterling Investment Company, a California corporation, which is believed to have been formed in or about 1905 by Henry A. Whitley and possibly other persons, such records to include, without limitation, the following documents:</p> <p>a. Articles of incorporation, any amendments thereto and any other documents filed by or on behalf of this corporation with the State or any agency thereof;</p> <p>b. Records of taxes being paid or not paid by or on behalf of this corporation;</p> <p>c. Notices of non-payment of franchise taxes, income taxes or other taxes, fees or charges sent by anyone, including without limitation the Franchise Tax Board, Secretary of State or other State agency, to this corporation;</p> <p>d. Records and notices of status, forfeiture, suspension, voidability, dissolution or escheatment sent by the Franchise Tax Board, Secretary of State, or other State agency to this corporation;</p> <p>e. State income tax returns of this corporation;</p> <p>f. Records regarding the term of this corporation's existence beyond the original 50-year term;</p> <p>g. Records regarding the status of this corporation as being in good standing, suspended, dissolved or otherwise since it was incorporated in 1905;</p> <p>h. Any and all court records involving this corporation;</p> <p>i. Any deeds or other instruments of conveyance of real property by or to this corporation;</p> <p>j. Any and all licenses or permits issued to or registrations made by this corporation.</p> <p>22. Chino Land and Water Company.</p> <p>a. Any records prior to 1958 relating to Chino Land and Water Company, which is believed to be a California corporation formed by Henry A. Whitley and others in or about April, 1900 as State I.D. #00030468.</p> <p>b. Any records prior to 1958 relating to Chino Ranch Company.</p> <p>c. Any records prior to 1958 relating to Chino Estate Company.</p>	Completed	Public Records	California law restricts the disclosure of the information you seek to specified persons, which do not include people seeking records pursuant to the PRA. (Pen. Code §§ 11105, subds. (b) & (c), 11106.) This restriction is expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k).) Accordingly, we must decline to respond to this request.
			<p>I am seeking a list of firearms owned and in the possession of Michael Chris Hunstinger. I have a permanent restraining order against him, and I know he has many weapons, and he has failed to submit to the courts in San Bernardino county, the proof that he no longer owns them. I need to go before the judge and ask for further protection and I need this information to give to the court. He has lived in Riverside, Victorville California, and also in Peyton Colorado.</p> <p>Case# (superior court, San Bernardino county, Victorville Ca). FAMVS1802040</p> <p>My information</p> <p>Christine Hedger</p>			

PR A-2018-01881	Wilcox (birth certificate)	Timothy	10/12/2018	I am trying to get a Certified copy of my birth certificate; pleased see attached form.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PR A-2018-02051	Bernal (death in custody)	Jose	10/12/2018	Requesting all document(s); official report(s) submitted by the Alameda County Sheriff's Office to the Attorney General's Office, as required by CA Government Code Sec. 12525, pertaining to the in-custody death of: Frank Beltran Jr. ID O B [REDACTED] On [REDACTED] at about 2345 hours, inmate Frank Beltram Jr. died at the Santa Rita Jail, in Dublin CA. Christina Lamendola ID O B [REDACTED] On [REDACTED] at about 1320 hours, inmate Christina Lamendola died at Santa Rita Jail, in Dublin CA. Swaran Singh ID O B [REDACTED] On [REDACTED] at 0915 hours, while in the custody of the Alameda County Sheriff's Office, inmate Swaran Singh died at Stanford Health Care -- Valley Care Medical Center, in Pleasanton CA. Dujan Omaera Armstrong [REDACTED] On June 23, 2018, at about 1923 hours, inmate Dujan Amarea Armstrong was pronounced deceased at Stanford Health Care - Valley Care, Pleasanton, CA. Armstrong was under the custody of the Alameda County Sheriff's Office.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database.
PR A-2018-02052	Sheehan (Fresno Bee)	Tim	10/12/2018	November 13, 2018 follow up: Thank you very much. I've consulted with my editors and they have agreed to spending \$125 for the two reports: AW registrations broken down by county and type (\$42); and a report indicating number of firearms currently captured by the Automated Firearms System (\$83), understanding that there are major holes in the data regarding actual number of firearms in the state. A check will be on its way to you tomorrow (Wednesday, Nov. 14), referencing Request 10.12.18 - 2018-02052. If this information can be provided in either a .csv or .xls format, that would be quite helpful.  October 23 follow up to extension letter: Thanks very much. I want to reiterate that I am only seeking the numbers of firearms that are registered in the state and each of its 58 counties, and NOT for any information on individual gun ownership. This was apparently an issue when I originally sought this information in March 2018. Your letter indicates that "an extension is needed to coordinate the response with different sections of the Department that have responsibility for the requested records." I'm a little curious how many sections hold data on firearms registrations besides the Bureau of Firearms. I would expect looking up the number of registrations statewide and in each county should be a relatively simple matter of pulling up a report from a database.  October 12 request: I am looking for the number of firearms that are registered in the State of California and each of its 58 counties. Additionally, if those are broken down by the type of weapon (handgun, rifle, shotgun, etc.), I would be interested in that information as well. I want to make clear I am only looking for the number of firearms that are registered, NOT for any information regarding individual gun ownership. I look forward to hearing from you within the requisite 10 days noted in the California Public Records Act.		Fees Requested	Nancy Doig	On November 6, 2018, Public Records responded: On October 23, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request. As a preliminary matter, the Department generally considers "registering" firearms the process of recording ownership of a weapon when the law requires the owner to register the weapon in order to continue owning it legally. Often, these requirements apply to weapons people already own at the time the law requiring registration is enacted. These types of weapons are identified in Penal Code (PC) sections 30510 and 30515 and must be registered with the Department. We can provide you with a report of assault weapons, as defined in PC sections 30510 and 30515, which are currently registered within the Department (AW Registrations) broken down by county and type of weapon. Please note that

when an assault weapon is registered to joint owners, more than one registration will be associated with a single firearm. Because the Department does not run this type of report as part of its regular course of business and it has not already had to run this report for another purpose, it would need to task an Information Technology Specialist (C) with engaging in programming to extract the data and run the report. This programming would take approximately 1 hour, at a cost of \$42. The Department is allowed to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, sub. (b).)

Additionally, though you refer to firearms that are "registered" in your request, and the Department generally defines "registering" as indicated above, based on some of the additional language in your request, we believe you are seeking information regarding all firearms reported to and subsequently recorded in the Automated Firearms System (AFS), broken down by county and type of weapon. To the extent weapons are recorded in the AFS, the reporting comes from a variety of sources. To the extent the AFS captures information, please be aware of the following limitations:

- Mandatory requirements to report the sale or transfer of long guns did not arise until 2014.
- Prior to 1991, private party transactions of handguns were not required to go through a licensed firearms dealer.
- Some long guns and handguns might be captured in AFS due to voluntary reporting by owners.
- As a result of the above, our numbers will not reflect the existence of all shotguns, rifles, or handguns in California.

The Department does not run a report of the number of firearms recorded in AFS, broken down by county and gun type, as part of its regular course of business and it has not already had to run this report for another purpose. To run the report, the Department would need to task an Information Technology Specialist (C) with engaging in programming to extract the data and run the report. This programming would take approximately 2 hours, at a cost of \$83.

If you would like us to provide you with

							a report on AW Registrations broken down by county and type, please make a check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$42. If you would like us to provide you with a report indicating the number of firearms currently captured by AFS, broken down by county and type, please make a check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$83. For both reports, please make a single check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$125. Send any payment to:  California Department of Justice · Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-06 Sacramento, CA 95814  If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.  On October 23, 2018, an extension letter was sent.
PR A-2018-02053	Baker (SD DHHS records)	Ashley	10/12/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- San Diego County Department of Health and Human Services contract with Optum to provide MAA/TCM services</li><li>- San Diego County Department of Health and Human Services contract with PCG to provide MAA/TCM time survey solutions</li><li>- Any other current or prior contracts to provide MAA/TCM services to San Diego County</li><li>- Any other current or prior contracts to provide MAA/TCM time survey solutions</li><li>- Any RFP responses submitted to San Diego County in regards to prior MAA/TCM outsourcing requests</li></ul> <p>This public records request is for copies of San Diego County's current and prior contracts with any and all vendors to provide MAA/TCM support services.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from San Diego County Department of Health and Human Services, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-02054	Joseph	Brittany	10/12/2018	<p>I am looking for documents tied to Lincoln Allen Joseph from Sutter County California. He was born [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. I am seeking any and all mugshots and the police report from his death on [REDACTED]. He was arrested several times. I have one of those booking reports:</p> <p>Booking#: 93-0006608 Date: 11/3/93 Cell: M DRM 999 018 Arrested by: Bailey, Agency: SCSO Warrant #: 95CR659</p> <p>Lincoln Joseph was my father. He died when I was 9 months old and I have never seen a photo of him, nor have I ever gotten the truth regarding his death. I know he was arrested several times and I also know there was an investigation into his death.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.

PR A-2018-02061	Corbett (divorce records)	Ronnie	10/12/2018	divorce certificate					<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
					Completed	Erin Nickless			<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PR A-2018-02062	Clark	Atryia	10/12/2018	This request is a Public Information Act Request and I would like any and all documents connected with it.	Completed	Erin Nickless			<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the</li> </ul>

PR A-2018-02072	Olfati (roof repairs, electric)	Parvin	10/12/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Division of Law Enforcement, division, Deputy Attorney General, office, agency, officer, board, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between August 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to any person known or believed to be providing roof or other services at [REDACTED] including but not limited to Aria Electric, Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd and/or Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd, and/or any person working under the direction of Aria Electric and/or Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd. The requested records include but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of the City of Sacramento Community Development Department, including but not limited to Richard Leiker.</li> <li>• Communications to, from, and/or about any Member of or City of Sacramento staff person assigned to the Housing Code Advisory and Appeals Board, including but not limited to Anitra B bbs and/or Laurie Ward.</li> <li>• Communications to, from, about, by or on behalf of Parvin Olfati regarding roof repair and/or roof repair records.</li> <li>• Communications regarding any building permit taken out or proposed for [REDACTED]</li> <li>• Communications regarding Parvin Olfati on any subject, including but not limited to services at [REDACTED] and/or anything else, including the personal life of Parvin Olfati.</li> <li>• Communications regarding [REDACTED]</li> <li>• Any communications, payments, emoluments and/or instructions to Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd and/or Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd and/or any person known or believed to be working for Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd mid/or Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd regarding making a personal inspection of the roof at [REDACTED] including but not limited to any roof inspection conducted on October 4, 2018.</li> <li>• Any contract, draft contract, agreement, draft agreement, and/or memorandum of understanding for Aria Electric, Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd, and/or Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd, and/or any person or entity believed to be working under the direction of either.</li> <li>• Any recommendation for Aria Electric, Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd, and/or Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd, including but not limited to any recommendation for any construction work.</li> </ul> <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>contents of the record.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-02060	Salvani (3rd Party Records)	Geraldine	10/15/2018	<p>GREGORY CHARLES WEST. He has been missing since August 13, 2018. I wish to determine if he is in any kind of custody. He left to pick up his paycheck and has not been seen or heard from since. Last seen in Sherman Oaks, CA. Went to Los Angeles Wilshire Blvd to pick up his paycheck at a culinary employment agency.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the</p>

PR A-2018-02063	Baker Ashly	10/15/2018	<p>- The most recent 4 quarterly CMAA, SMAA and MHMAA claims submitted by San Diego County to DHCS for reimbursement. Please include any and all claiming units under the San Diego County.</p> <p>- The most recent annual TCM Cost Report submitted by San Diego County to DHCS for reimbursement. Please include any and all claiming units under San Diego County.</p> <p>This request is for the following:</p> <p>- The most recent 4 quarterly CMAA, SMAA and MHMAA claims submitted by San Diego County to DHCS for reimbursement. Please include any and all claiming units under the San Diego County.</p> <p>- The most recent annual TCM Cost Report submitted by San Diego County to DHCS for reimbursement. Please include any and all claiming units under San Diego County.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p>
-----------------	-------------	------------	---	-----------	---------------	--



PR A-2018-02064	Tobias-Castellanos (bench warrant)	Elsa	10/15/2018	I want to make sure I don't have a bench warrant on my file. I committed a Welfare fraud but not sure what year it was. I can be under Elsa Tobias, Elsa Tobias-Castellanos or Elsa Castellanos. My date of birth is [REDACTED]. You may reach by email or phone. Thank you				Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the San Diego County Department of Health and Human Services, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
					Completed	Jaimie Tackett	To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek, it would be maintained in the Wanted Persons System, a 24-hour pointer system available to law enforcement agencies, which is accessible through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Wanted Persons System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. As such, we must decline your request. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from a local law enforcement agency.	
PR A-2018-02066	Roseman (AG No. 07-0043)	Lauren	10/15/2018	Any and all versions of the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) that have been submitted; Any and all materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the Attorney General's Office and/or Secretary of State concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11); Any and all informational and voting materials pertaining to the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11).  I respectfully request that OAG provide the foregoing documents in PDF format if OAG either: (1) maintains such documents in PDF format; or (2) makes copies of such documents in PDF format for its own use or for provision to other government or municipal agencies.	Schneider, Wallace, Cottrell, Konecny & Wotkins	Completed	Anabel Renteria	With respect to the first part of your request, the original and amended version of Initiative 17-0043 are enclosed. Regarding the second part of your request, we understand your request to seek all materials received by the Attorney General's Office by third parties in connection with Initiative 17-0043, and we have enclosed all such materials. If we have misunderstood the nature of your request, please let us know. Please note the Attorney General's Office does not respond to Public Records Act requests on behalf of the Secretary of State, and you will need to contact the Secretary of State's office directly for any records they may have received. With respect to the final part of your

PR A-2018-02109	Anonymously	Anonymously	10/15/2018	All public records Cort, criminal etc. For Marco Antonio zamora age 29	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>request, the Attorney General's Office does not have any "information and voting materials" pertaining to Proposition 11 beyond those that are publicly available on the Secretary of State's website (e.g., the Official Voter Information Guide):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/upcoming-elections/general-election-november-6-2018/</li> </ul> <p>Any additional "information and voting materials" would need to be requested from the Secretary of State's office. They may be reached at (916) 657-2166 or California Secretary of State, Elections Division, 1500 11th St., 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal</p>
-----------------	-------------	-------------	------------	--	-----------	---------------	--

PR A-2018-02115	Olfati (Toxic Substances)	Parvin	10/15/2018	<p>Pursuant to the Public Records Act (PRA), Information Practices Act (IPA), and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all records created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, employee, agent and/or representative of any and all use to any substances, toxic or otherwise, and/or devices at any location where such use is in retaliation for or is otherwise related to any PRA request regarding any and all records of any and all use to any substances, toxic or otherwise, and/or devices at any location where such use is in retaliation for or is otherwise related to any PRA request filed by Parvin Olfati or from 1859 45th Street, including but not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio and his tens of suspect visitors</li> <li>2. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Martin Raygoza, Petros Raygoza, and/or Helen Vatthis.</li> <li>3. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Camille Remy, John Obad, and/or Camille Remy-Obad.</li> <li>4. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Barb Andres and/or Cecil Taylor.</li> <li>5. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or June Lamoureux and/or Bill Kellerhals.</li> <li>6. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Mieke Smythe and/or John Lisuk.</li> <li>7. Any use of [REDACTED]</li> <li>8. Any entries on to [REDACTED] for the placement of any substance, toxic, and/or object on any portion of [REDACTED] including but not limited to the toxic-emitting objects not present on October 8, 2018 but that were present on October 9, 2018 or any date thereafter.</li> <li>9. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please include any and all records of their destruction, transfer, or nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</li> </ol>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-02116	Olfati (PG&E)	Parvin	10/15/2018	<p>I hereby request that the DOJ produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with and/or about Pacific Gas &amp; Electric Company (PG&amp;E) that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati, and/or [REDACTED] including but not limited to any communications regarding the presence, placement, and/or insertion of any substance, gas, gaseous substance, and/or toxic substance or toxic gas at any residence believed or known to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to 1859 45th Street, Sacramento, California 95819, and including but not limited to any tampering with any furnace or equipment (including but not limited to equipment located in the basement).</p> <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-02117	Olfati (Vivint)	Parvin	10/15/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, office, agency, officer, board, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between October 5, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to any person known or believed to be providing alarm, camera, video camera, and/or security services at 1859 45th Street, including but not limited to Vivint, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of Vivint regarding Parvin Olfati and/or 1859 45th Street.</li> </ul>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>



PR A-2018-02118	Offati (security cameras)	Parvin	10/15/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding Vivint equipment at [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Offati, including but not limited to any tampering or disabling of any equipment, alarm, camera, video camera or other security equipment.</li> <li>• Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding Vivint equipment in the basement and/or storage room at [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Offati, including but not limited to any tampering or disabling of any equipment, alarm, camera, video camera or other security equipment.</li> </ul> <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/PA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p> <p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all records created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, employee, agent and/or representative computer or other medium regarding any and all entries to, touching of, and/or tampering with any lock, security system, cameras, and/or alarms at [REDACTED], including but not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio and his tens of suspect visitors</li> <li>2. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Martin Raygoza, Petros Raygoza, and/or Helen Vathhis.</li> <li>3. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Camille Remy, John Obad, and/or Camille Remy-Obad.</li> <li>4. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Barb Andres and/or Cecil Taylor.</li> <li>5. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or June Lamoureux and/or Bill Kellerhals.</li> <li>6. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Mieke Smythe and/or John Lisuk.</li> <li>7. Any use of [REDACTED]</li> <li>8. Any entries on to [REDACTED] for any touching of, tampering with, and/or entries to any storage room at [REDACTED] including but not limited to the storage room in the back yard.</li> <li>9. Any tampering, touching, remote control, and/or disabling of any security stem, cameras, and/or alarms at [REDACTED]</li> <li>10. Any communications with the California Department of Justice (including but not limited to the Law Enforcement Division) regarding any activity at [REDACTED], including but not limited to entries to, touching of, and/or tampering with any storage room, and/or any touching, tampering, and/or disabling of any security system, or other activity directed at [REDACTED]</li> <li>11. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please include any and all records of their destruction, transfer, or nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</li> </ol>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02067	Burrell (RFP)	Anna	10/16/2018	<p>Contract Documents resulting from the Appeals Case Management System RFP (#31291) that closed September 6, 2016. I understand that requested contract cannot be sent via email and must be placed on a CD, in which there is a \$10 fee. Once my request is received I will be remitting a check for the amount.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-02068	Negrete (CPS, court records)	Kathryn	10/16/2018	Kathryn Negrete Child Protective Services. I need all the records in court and out of court documents	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the

PR A-2018-02069	Guzman	Karen	10/16/2018	Divorce Petition by Mark Anthony B Licuanan to Karen P Guzman in California the paper was never served to me but I was told that he filled up the paperwork.				depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Child Protective Services and court filings, you may wish to direct your request to Child Protective Services and the court.
		Erin Nickless	Completed	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .				
PR A-2018-02070	Baldauf (complaints)	Johnathan	10/16/2018	Please provide any information regarding consumer complaints or suits against MBF Leasing, LLC, a New York-based company that provides leases with small businesses for credit card processing equipment. <a href="https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/court-allows-ag-schneidermans-lawsuit-ag...">https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/court-allows-ag-schneidermans-lawsuit-ag...</a> < <a href="https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/court-allows-ag-schneidermans-lawsuit-against-northern-leasing-systems-inc-proceed">https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/court-allows-ag-schneidermans-lawsuit-against-northern-leasing-systems-inc-proceed</a> >				To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-02073	Avina	Faustino	10/16/2018	Request transcript (or recording) of 911 call from my home land line phone [REDACTED] on 10/13/2018 at 12:03 pm, which my address is noted here on this online form. My 16-year old son made the phone call and his name can be removed from the transcript (or recording) for privacy purposes. Also, any other information necessary can be removed from the transcript (or recording) that violates privacy laws.				Specifically, you are seeking records related to a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.



PR A-2018-02089	Olfaty (Pest Control)	Parvin	10/16/2018	<p>Therefore, pursuant to the PRA, IPA, and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that DOJ produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with and/or about any pest control or pest management company (including but not limited to Official Pest Prevention and/or any person named Molina) known or believed to be providing services at <b>1859 45th Street, Sacramento, California 95819</b>, including but not limited to communications regarding any use of chemicals or toxic substances in the form of any gas, gaseous substance, powder, and/or liquid in any shape or form known or believed to cause paralysis or other disabling or harmful conditions in humans or animals.</p> <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02124	Hathaway	Scott	10/16/2018	<p>This contact with the office of the California Attorney General is about my requests of CHP (and later CalSTA) that the state furnish all records in relation to the March 16, 2018 incident in East Pasadena which resulted in extensive damage to my 2012 Aprilia Tuono, California license no. [REDACTED]. I was furnished with CHP no. 00393-575-18 as the incident reference. Although I have asked for pertinent investigative information on this matter a number of times, no materials have been delivered to me or spoken. Since I have asked the Cal AG office to oversee and as required in order to correct the Highway Patrol in the conduct of subject investigation and property seizure, there will necessarily be much in the way of written materials and other records on its specific conversations with CHP and its parent agency CalSTA.</p> <p>Any and all telephone, email or spoken communications must be sent to me.</p> <p>For a long period, over six months in fact, CHP (and parent CalSTA) has refused to cooperate in the most basic means of furnishing me with relevant information. In the interim there have been harassing incidents of CHP personnel against me. There is as well the undisclosed nature of the state's relationship with Citywide tow, who have with state approval illegally seized my motorcycle. All of these items surely would have been discussed with AG office at various points, and this is a vital inclusion to my records request of the state of California.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California Department of Justice, but seeks records from the California Highway Patrol. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PR A-2018-	Jackson (3rd Party Records)	Konrad	10/17/2018	<p>I am interested in resident hunting or fishing licenses, resident income tax filings, voter registration, vehicle registration or any other filings which is restricted to California residents, which were made by Ronald D. Gillham during the years of 1988, 1989, 1990 and 1991. Mr. Gillham was born on [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and</p>

020 74							<p>legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=-">https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=-</a>. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a Public Records Request to their office can be found on the Department of Fish and Wildlife's web page at <a href="https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests">https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests</a>. Information on requesting driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a>.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 020 75	Ferreira	Eron	10/17/2018	Unsatisfied Judgement from 145 months ago in the amount of \$5,000 I am not sure if this judgement is under my personal name Eron Ferreira or under my company name Concrete Solutions Inc however when I applied for a commercial line of credit it was denied based on a judgement in the amount of \$5,000 that hasn't been settled. It also might be filed under a different address but the one stated in the request is the most current address.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking lien records, we suggest that you contact the Franchise Tax Board, or the local county assessor's office for</p>

PR A-2018-02076	Montene gro-Paredes	Jorge	10/17/2018	Tamara sheene Castle, 35, of Lancaster, who is accused of falsely stating she was employed by a limousine company to qualify for child care subsidies that cost taxpayers \$75,000; This took place on or about July 16, 2009		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related. For court records, you may wish to contact the court in which the case was heard. We hope this information has been of assistance.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to</p>
-----------------	---------------------	-------	------------	---	--	-----------	---------------	--



PR A-2018-02100	Vang Amy	10/17/2018	<p>Defendant: Craig James Neil  Trial case number: CR-15456 (Riverside Superior Court)  Appellate case number: E056444 (Fourth Appellate District, Division 2)  Documents being sought: any briefing (appellant's opening brief, respondent's brief, appellant's reply brief) and trial transcripts. Any and all documents related to this case would be very helpful. This is a time sensitive matter, so I would really appreciate any response from you as soon as possible. Thank you so much for your help.</p>	Completed	Cynthia a S. Lumley	<p>obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at:  <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>On December 10, 2018, Cynthia Lumley responded: Here are the instructions for obtaining the records listed in our response letters dated November 14, 2018, and November 26, 2018 (the records are listed again below for your convenience):  Our files contain the following documents:  Our files contain the following documents:  4th Appellate District. Division Two, Case No. 10123  Respondent's Brief filed 9/4/79, 34 pages  Opinion filed 12/7 /79, 7 pages  Petition for Rehearing filed 1/30/80, 22 pages (California Supreme Court)  Reporter's Transcript dated 10/22/86 (possibly related to E002290), 119 pages  [There is a note in the file dated 10/25/91 indicating that the "Transcripts were borrowed and not returned. Unknown who borrowed or lost." I believe that refers to the Clerk's Transcript and Reporter's Transcript from the Riverside Superior Court Case No. CR15456.]  Various state court habeas petitions, court minutes, and correspondence to and from the Riverside Superior Court spanning 1982-1992, 245 pages  4th Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. E002290  Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 7/30/85, 12 pages  Informal Response filed 8/28/85, 10 pages  Reply to Informal Response filed 9/17/85, 10 pages  USDC-Southern District, Case no. OO-cv-00200-L (AJB)  Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 1/27/00, 11 pages  Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus petition filed 5/5/00, 52 pages  Return to Motion to Dismiss filed 5/18/00, 5 pages  Report and Recommendation filed 7/18/00, 5 pages  Petitioner's objections to R&amp;R filed 8/11/00, 3 pages  Order Adopting R&amp;R filed 11/7/00, 2 pages  Dismissal Order filed 2/9/00, 1 page  USDC-Central District, Case no. 13-cv-</p>
-----------------	----------	------------	--	-----------	---------------------	---

02151-DMG-MRW  
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 11/06/13, 28 pages  
Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus petition filed 02/27 /14, 6 pages  
Report and Recommendation filed 05/09/14, 5 pages  
Petitioner's objections to R&R filed 05/29/14, 4 pages  
Order Accepting R&R filed 09/26/14, 1 page  
Judgment filed 09/26/14, 1 page  
The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$.10 per page. An additional charge is due to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. You may avoid this additional fee by arranging to pick up the documents at the address above.  
Your request for records has generated 583 pages of discloseable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$58.30. The charge for postage is \$7.00. The total cost for copying and mailing in this instance is \$65.30. If you wish to pick up the documents in person, the fee is \$58.30.  
Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to:  
California Department of Justice  
Public Records Coordinator  
1300 I Street, Room 1710-6  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.  
On November 26, 2018, Cynthia Lumley responded: We received our direct appeal file (Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. 10123, which is the appeal of Riverside Superior Court Case No. CRI15456) from our off-site storage. The file contains the following documents:  
4th Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. 10123  
Respondent's Brief filed 9/4/79, 34 pages

<p>Opinion filed 12/17/79, 7 pages</p> <p>Petition for Rehearing filed 1/30/80, 22 pages (California Supreme Court)</p> <p>Reporter's Transcript of 10/22/86 proceedings (possibly related to E002290)</p> <p>[There is a note in the file dated 10/25/91 indicating that the "Transcripts were borrowed and not returned. Unknown who borrowed or lost." I believe that refers to the Clerk's Transcript and Reporter's Transcript from the Riverside Superior Court Case No. CRI 5456.]</p>	<p>On November 14, 2018, Cynthia Lumley sent a status update:</p> <p>Our files contain the following documents:</p> <p>Various state court habeas petitions, court minutes, and correspondence to and from the Riverside Superior Court spanning 1982-1992, 245 pages</p> <p>4th Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. E002290</p> <p>Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 7/30/85, 12 pages</p> <p>Informal Response filed 8/28/85, 10 pages</p> <p>Reply to Informal Response filed 9/17/85, 10 pages</p> <p>USDC-Southern District; Case no. OO-cv-00200-L (AUB)</p> <p>Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 1/27/00, 11 pages</p> <p>Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus petition filed 5/5/00, 52 pages</p> <p>Return to Motion to Dismiss filed 5/18/00, 5 pages</p> <p>Report and Recommendation filed 7/18/00, 5 pages</p> <p>Petitioner's objections to R&amp;R filed 8/11/00, 3 pages</p> <p>Order Adopting R&amp;R filed 11/7/00, 2 pages</p> <p>Dismissal Order filed 2/9/00, 1 page</p> <p>USDC-Central District, Case no. 13-cv-02151-DMG-MRW</p> <p>Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 11/06/13, 28 pages</p> <p>Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus petition filed 02/27/14, 6 pages</p> <p>Report and Recommendation filed 05/09/14, 5 pages</p> <p>Petitioner's objections to R&amp;R filed 05/29/14, 4 pages</p> <p>Order Accepting R&amp;R filed 09/26/14, 1 page</p> <p>Judgment filed 09/26/14, 1 page</p> <p>We are still waiting for our off-site storage facility to send the direct appeal file, which may contain</p>
---	---

PR A-2018-02108	Amatangelo Mary Ann	10/17/2018	<p>October 15, 2018 question to Registry of Charitable Trusts: Hello, I noticed BizParentz is current in their filing. The issue is in 2014, Anne Henry listed her total assets were \$4,452 and in 2015 it jumped to over \$100,000. I asked her if she can provide who donated the money to her and now she is threatening to sue me for defamation along with a well known casting director in Hollywood and an actress/acting coach. The reason why I have filed complaints and had others do so as well is because my daughter is a victim of a talent management scam and I turned to BizParentz for help and they are rude and not helpful at all. If she has over \$100,000, Anne is not helping child actor victims at all. Is there any way the public can see who donated all that money in 2015? Anne Henry is not well liked in the entertainment industry because she acts like a law enforcer for the City Attorney M ke Feuer and people are intimidated by her. It's not normal for a charity to go after legally a victim. Anne Henry is absolutely crazy. Thank you.</p> <p>October 17, 2018 question to Registry of Charitable Trusts: Thank you for answering my question regarding BizParentz donor list request for 2015. May I submit a FOIA request? I also have a reporter from Deadline Hollywood working on the issues with BizParentz.</p>	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>October 19, 2018 response: Your email dated October 17, 2018, was referred to my attention. In your email you request the donor list for BizParentz. This office cannot release donor information because this information is confidential nonpublic information pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 11, section 310. Subdivision (b). Moreover, this organization is not registered with our office as a charitable organization. The Registry of Charitable Trusts has sent the organization requesting that it register with us. You can find copies of those records on our website at <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/WebSearch.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a></p> <p>RCT responded on October 16, 2018: Unfortunately, donor information is confidential. You can view all the public documents of this organization on the Registry website. If you want to file a complaint, please download the CT-9 form and submit your complaint with any supporting documents and we will forward it to our legal team.</p>	Appellant's Opening Brief, Respondent's Brief, Reply Brief, and transcripts. When that arrives, I will send you an updated letter with the contents of that file.
PR A-2018-02110	Batty Melanie	10/17/2018	<p>Melissa Marie O'Banion; Female age 29-32; Car accident involving a small child</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Motor vehicle accident report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you have more information as to why our Department may be in possession of this report, please provide that to us. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>	<p>Motor vehicle accident report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you have more information as to why our Department may be in possession of this report, please provide that to us. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PR A-2018-02119	Ferreira Eron	10/17/2018	<p>Approximately 12 years ago, a Mr. and Mrs. Kesselman filed a \$5,000 lien against me (I believe it was against my home at [REDACTED]). This lien was paid/satisfied and released 11-12 years ago but is still showing up on a business credit report as "unsatisfied". I am being denied business credit as a result. I am looking for a document that shows the release of the lien. The case name was either Kesselman v. Eron Ferreira or Kesselman v. Concrete Solutions or Concrete Solutions v. Kesselman or Eron Ferreira v. Kesselman.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p>	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p>

																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																															</
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

020 81			Respective courthouses have stated that they do not have these cases on file anymore, and that I need to contact the California Department of Justice. This is for a pending case that is going to trial on November 1, 2018. I have also subpoenaed these records directly from the CA DOJ, for production on November 1, 2018.  Please contact me if there are any problems or issues with this request. Thank you.			not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed, or to the local district attorney's office if they prosecuted any of the cases referenced.  To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PR A- 201 8- 020 82	Logan (3rd Party Records)	Perry	10/1 8/20 18	Tim Morgan age 50 Used to live in Daly City, CA moved to Tahoe, CA. Looking for criminal records or current address in case he moved suddenly.  Lost contact trying to track him down and make sure he is ok.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.  In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the

								Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PR A-2018-02083	Pizano	Aimee	10/18/2018	I am request a copy of my complete California Criminal and Traffic Violation Recorders.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a> . If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.
PR A-2018-02132	Schmidt	Kevin	10/18/2018	1. All records regarding the September 25, 2018 meeting with U.S. Attorney General Jeff Sessions and/or U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust staff; 2. All records regarding the use, possible use, or declination of use of antitrust laws against information-technology companies, such as Facebook, Google, Twitter, Amazon, or Apple.		Rolling Production	Aaron Jones	On December 7, 2018, Aaron Jones responded: This email is in regards to your Public Records Act request to this office dated October 18, 2018. Pursuant to my letters of November 13 and November 21, 2018, please find attached six documents responsive to your request. We are still reviewing potentially responsive documents and will let you know if we identify any additional documents that we are able to disclose. We will also confirm when the production of documents is complete.

On November 26, 2018, Aaron Jones responded: On October 29, this office extended the date for responding to your request to November 13, 2018. On November 13, I informed you that we expect to have disclosable public records responsive to your request, and that we are working to identify and review responsive records. I indicated that we expected to produce non-exempt public records responsive to your request by November 23 to the extent possible, and on a rolling basis thereafter as needed.

This letter is to inform you that, because the task of identifying and reviewing records responsive to your request has proven to be more difficult and time-consuming than we anticipated, it will not be feasible to begin our production by that date. We are working to identify and review the potentially responsive records as expeditiously as possible. We anticipate that we will be able to begin producing non-exempt public records responsive to your request by December 7, 2018, and on a rolling basis thereafter as needed.

On November 13, 2018, Aaron Jones responded: We construe your second request above as encompassing only the five companies that you have named in your request. Public Records Act requests must be sufficiently specific and focused to give the agency a reasonable opportunity to respond. (See Gov. Code, § 6255; Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) If we were to construe the request as encompassing any and all "information-technology companies" including but not limited to the five named companies, the request would be unduly vague, unfocused, and burdensome, and as such would not afford this office a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Ibid.)

Construed in this manner, we expect to have disclosable public records responsive to your request, although we have not yet completed our search for records and review for potentially applicable privileges and exemptions to disclosure. We will produce non-exempt public records responsive to your request by November 23, 2018, to the extent possible, and if necessary will produce any additional disclosable records on a rolling basis thereafter. Legal exemptions to disclosure apply to the majority of the records responsive



to your request. The applicable exemptions include the following:  
Attorney-Client Privilege: Section 6254 exempts from disclosure documents protected by confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. This includes the attorney-client privilege contained in California Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. (Cal. Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal 4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice not only to other state agencies, but also to the Attorney General and his designees.  
Accordingly, communications between the department's attorneys and other state agency clients, or between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, are privileged communications exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. .  
Attorney Work Product: The Public Records Act also exempts from disclosure documents protected by the attorney work product doctrine. This doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)  
Deliberative Process Privilege: Government Code section 6255 exempts documents from disclosure under the deliberative process privilege, which protects materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion with the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public disclosure if it is related to the process by which policies are formulated or inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)  
The Attorney General's Office reserves the right to invoke additional exemptions but will let you know if we invoke an exemption not previously identified.

PR A-2018-02084	Gallman (3rd Party Deceased Records)	Mariah	10/19/2018	Request any/all records pertaining to Douglas Ray Gallman DOB: [REDACTED]; Deceased. Conducting research on father for personal knowledge. Please contact if any questions should arise. Thank you!	Completed	Erin Nickless	On October 29, 2018 Public Records sent an extension letter.  Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.  In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
-----------------	--------------------------------------	--------	------------	---	-----------	---------------	---

PR A-2018-02085	Roberts (3rd Party Records)	Rebecca	10/19/2018	<p>I'm looking for any information on Ignacio Alviar- Rios Jr. In the past 10 years ...who has lived in Oakland and Livermore. Currently he's in Santa Rita jail on 6 different charges of domestic violence battery etc. on me. I just wanted to see how many timed or other women he's done this too.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
-----------------	-----------------------------	---------	------------	---	-----------	---------------	--

PR A-2018-02086	Yaskow ak (Indiv Records)	Mark	10/1 9/20 18	<p>RECORDS REQUESTED FOR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- THE LAST NAME - YASKOWEAK;</li> <li>- THE ADDRESS - 3 VICENTE TERRACE, SANTA MONICA, CA (ANY UNIT#); COMMUNITY CORPORATION OF SANTA MONICA; THE CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY; THE CHURCH OF FOUNDRY; SANTA MONICA HOUSING AUTHORITY; THE DATE(S) CAN BE ANY DATE POSSIBLE; BUT *****ESPECIALLY FROM OCTOBER 2015 THROUGH NOW - OCTOBER 2018**** ; HOWEVER; OLDER RECORDS WOULD ALSO BE OF MUCH USE; THANKS SO MUCH.</li> <li>FOR A NUMBER OF MONTHS; I WAS AWAY FROM MY APARTMENT; AND AS A RESULT; A NUMBER OF CRIMINALS - WHO ARE COMMITTING TERRORISM - ILLEGALLY USED MY APARTMENT; AND ALSO [SOME OF WHOM] STALKED ME - BY SQUATTING IN MY BUILDING (IE - THEY WOULD BE GIVEN ACCESS TO MY APARTMENT ILLEGALLY - WHEN I WAS OUT FOR HOURS GROCERY SHOPPING, ETC.); AND; THERE WAS ALSO A MURDER AT MY APARTMENT - AND I [NOW HAVING DISCOVERED THIS]; AM NOW BEING MURDERED BY THESE TERRORISTS - WHO OCCUPY THIS BUILDING; THESE ARE SCIENTOLOGY TERRORISTS; SO PLEASE TRY TO CROSS-REFERENCE ANY/ALL OF THE ABOVE INFORMATION - FOR ANY RECORD POSSIBLE; THANKS.</li> </ul>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In regard to some of the items in your inquiry, you appear to be reporting a crime, rather than requesting public records. If this is an emergency, please dial 9-11 or your local emergency line for assistance. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime">https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime</a>.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PR A-2018-02087	Wilkinson (Lien)	Scott	10/1 9/20 18	<p>Court: 06067 REF:201202141633 ACTION TYPE: Lien FILE DATE: 02/14/2012 Consumer Type: PC ATTORNEY: State of California (Plaintiff)</p> <p>This has come up in during a back ground investigation. I have no idea what this is and attempting ,to get some information.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that</p>

PR A-2018-02090	Williams Howard	10/19/2018	<p>July 1, 2018, I wrote you requesting a copy of Death in Custody Reports received by the Department of Justice for all custodial deaths that occurred in California from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017. I never received any reply. Recognizing that mail does get misplaced or misdirected, I am now repeating that request.</p> <p>I know that you post the data on your web site. However, that spreadsheet lacks the decedent's name, and I need that information for my research. From previous requests, I understand that you maintain the data from these reports in an electronic format, specifically in an Excel file. A copy of that file will satisfy my request. Indeed, I would prefer to receive the data in Excel format, if that is more convenient for you.</p>	Completed	Nicole Arnaiz	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database and not retained.</p>	<p>agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. You may wish to contact the court, or the creditor that filed the lien.</p>
PR A-2018-02091	Sherman (Silveyville Cemetery Records)	10/19/2018	<p>I am requesting all documents in regards to a fall involving my 91-year-old grandmother Marion Doss that took place in the Silveyville Cemetery in Dixon, CA. My grandmother fell on June 27, 2018, while in the care of my aunt Mary Jean Doss. My aunt recently admitted out of guilt that she has been abusing my grandmother for years and actually pushed her down at the cemetery causing both of them to fall. My grandmother fell backwards on her head and suffered severe injuries due to this fall causing several strokes since. My grandmother is now in hospice care at The Fountains in Yuba City. I would like to see the report and photos taken by Jennifer who was working in the office at the Silveyville cemetery so I can get things in motion to make sure Mary Jean understands the severity of her actions on this day.</p>	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called requestor to inquire about her request and she confirmed her request was submitted in error. She intended to submit her request to Silveyville Cemetery in Dixon, CA.</p>	
PR A-2018-02092	Taborda (Nurses under investigation)	10/19/2018	<p>I request all nurses licensed with the BRN that are under investigation and/or have had an accusation filed within the past six months.</p> <p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency:</p> <p>If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me at [REDACTED]. I ask that you notify me of any costs related to obtaining this information.</p>	Completed	Marichelle Tahimic	<p>Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>Investigative Records</p> <p>In your letter, you requested the following records:</p> <p>... all nurses licensed with the BRN that are under investigation and/or have had an accusation filed within the past six months.</p> <p>We must decline your request for the identity of nurses licensed with the BRN that are under investigation. These records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General</p>	

																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									</
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

PR A-2018-02095	Azure (Greater Family Foundation)	Celeste	10/19/2018	2017 990-PF for Greater Family Foundation FEIN 208069648 Registration Number: CT0193224 Please send me 2017 990-PF for Greater Family Foundation FEIN 208069648 Registration Number: CT0193224	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	This email is a response to your public record act request sent by email on October 19, 2018. You requested the 990-PF for Greater Family Foundation for the year 2017. This office has not yet received the 2017 filing from Greater Family Foundation, as such we have no records available to respond to your request. The charity may have received an extension from the IRS, and if so, they would not be considered delinquent in their reporting requirements with this office.
PR A-2018-02105	Welsh	Kerry	10/19/2018	December 4, 2018 clarification: (i) Arrests for trafficking in violation of subdivision (b) of Section 236.1. (ii) Convictions for trafficking in violation of subdivision (b) of Section 236.1. (iii) Arrests for trafficking in violation of subdivision (c) of Section 236.1. (iv) Convictions for trafficking in violation of subdivision (c) of Section 236.1. October 19, 2018 request: I've been in speaking on the phone with several people at the DOJ, and am hoping to get the below crime stats for the most recent year available: 1) Adult prostitution arrests/convictions in CA. 2) Adult trafficking arrests/convictions in CA. 3) Trafficking of minors arrests/convictions in CA.	Completed	Amanda Plisner	I am in receipt of your DOJ inquiry regarding prostitution and human trafficking statistics for last year in California. Arrest and conviction data is considered criminal offender record information (CORI) and, as such, is only disseminated to agencies authorized by statute (Penal Code sections 11105 and 11076). However, pursuant to Penal Code section 13202, if your organization is a research body, you can obtain the data through our research request process by following this link: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/research-center/request-process">https://oag.ca.gov/research-center/request-process</a> .
PR A-2018-02079	Zarlengo (case records)	Paul	10/22/2018	I represent Mr. DANIEL MARTIN CONNELLY in a driving under the influence case in the City of Denver. Pursuant to California Constitution, Article I, Section 3 subdivision (b) and the California Public Records Act (Government Code Sections 6250-6276.48), please consider this a request for production of the records compiled in the case of the People of the State of California v. Daniel Martin Connelly. Specifically, I am seeking court records related to his 2007 drunk driving charges. For your convenience, I have enclosed a summary of the charges as they were provided to me from the Denver District Attorney's Office.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to request these records from the court in which the case was heard. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



PR A-2018-02098	Robinson (marriage certificate)	Connie	10/22/2018	I need a certified copy of my marriage certificate for my retirement papers		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PR A-2018-02099	Link	William	10/22/2018	<p>October 29, 2018 follow up: You people have my request totally WRONG. My request was for names of individuals, and or corporation that claim to have done business with me through the California FRANCHISE TAX BOARD.</p> <p>October 22, 2018 request: Names of persons and or companies / corporations who claim to have done business with me and furnished information to the Franchise Tax Board.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>November 7, 2018 response: Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking records from the State of California Franchise Tax Board, we suggest that you contact their office. The webform that you submitted and to which we responded is only applicable for records from the California Department of Justice. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody, but instead are in the possession of another state agency. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=">https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=</a>.</p> <p>October 29, 2018 response: You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records</p>

								from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=">https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=</a> .
PR A-2018-02101	Deach	Bonnie	10/22/2018	I'm looking for any records (including the ME's report) on the murder of Albert and Joan Musalo who were murdered on March 28, 2006 in Reno, Nevada. I want to crowdsourcing this case and would like to provide as much information as I can to podcasters in order to encourage them to cover it. Thank you for your assistance.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) We note that your request is directed to the California Department of Justice, but seeks records related to a crime that took place in Reno Nevada. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to submit your request to the local district attorney or law enforcement agency that investigated this matter.
PR A-2018-02102	Maples (bankruptcy)	Ingrid	10/22/2018	I am applying for home loan and need to verify what year I filed Bankruptcy. I think it was 2010.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records possibly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency. Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: <a href="http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html">http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html</a> .
PR A-2018-02103	Schiess (Investigative records)	Michelle	10/22/2018	An Investigative Summary Regarding the Complaint of Illegal Recording of Confidential Communication by the former Alameda City Manager, Jill Keimach was concluded on October 16th, 2018. The recording was deemed legal by Alameda County District Attorney's office. As it is a matter of public record, I am hereby requesting a copy of the transcript of the recording or a copy in the form of a digital file of the recording. Because two of the Alameda council members were involved in this investigation and voted on the disposition of the		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of

PR A-2018-02104	Herrera (vape juice)	Rudy	10/202018	former City Manager along with a large payoff, it is very important that the citizens of Alameda are able to understand what was done in their name with their taxes.	I hope I was sent to the right email address. If not, please direct this email to the entity/person that's able to help me. I plan on selling vape juice for wholesale directly to businesses in your state. I want to ensure (1) my product is delivered to businesses that have active licensing and (2) the receiving parties are of legal age to take inventory of the vape juice. Please send me an Excel spreadsheet containing the information of ALL the businesses in your state with an active license for the retail sale of vape juice. Please contact me via email for any clarification needed.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that in this case, you may be seeking records from the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://cdtfa.ca.gov/contact.htm">http://cdtfa.ca.gov/contact.htm</a>.</p>
PR A-2018-02106	Manzo	Alyssa	10/202018	I was wondering if it would be possible to obtain records of the racial/ethnic demographics of those convicted/sentenced under CA's Three-Strikes law along with inmates sentenced to death within the past twenty years. I am in my senior year at California State Monterey Bay conducting research for my final project on the role of prosecutorial discretion in specific determinate sentencing laws.	I was wondering if it would be possible to obtain records of the racial/ethnic demographics of those convicted/sentenced under CA's Three-Strikes law along with inmates sentenced to death within the past twenty years. I am in my senior year at California State Monterey Bay conducting research for my final project on the role of prosecutorial discretion in specific determinate sentencing laws.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information, found here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/researchcenter/request-process">https://oag.ca.gov/researchcenter/request-process</a>. The document, Conditions</p>

PR A-2018-02107	Thrower	Alan	10/22/2018	I need a copy of protective order in my case #16NF011565 San Mateo County			for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
					Completed	David Baskind	Generally, the Attorney General's Office only receives records from Superior Court cases when there is an appeal. Based on my review of our records, our office has not handled any matter arising from your case, nor from any other San Mateo County case involving you. Accordingly, we cannot provide the requested record.
PR A-2018-02127	Miles	Derril	10/22/2018	I am writing to you because I believe that I am eligible for resentencing pursuant to Senate Bill 1437. I am pretty sure that I was convicted under the theory that I was an accomplice to 1st or 2nd degree murder. I do not remember because - being convicted in 1997 - I have lost most of my legal (paper) work. In my case I was involved in a bank robbery. While fleeing the scene I exited the "get away" vehicle. I continued to flee on foot. My codefendant "Glen Maurice Young: continued to flee in the car. Eventually the car he was driving struck and killed "Ms. Roslyn Hendrickson." Ultimately, a jury convicted both of us of murder. Because I intend to file a petition seeking relief under SB 1437 I would like to provide the sentencing court with a copy of my appellate court opinion and sentencing transcripts. I am asking that you please provide me with free copies of these documents because I am an indigent prisoner.			We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. You may be able to request copies from the appellate court in which the case was heard. If the case was prosecuted by a district attorney, you would need to direct your request to the local district attorney's office.
					Completed	Erin Nickless	If you have additional information to help identify the documents you are seeking, such as the full case name, the case number, and other details that may differentiate it from other cases in which "Stringfellow" is part of the name, please provide that to us. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If
PR A-2018-02161	Shinka	Rebecca	10/22/2018	Hello, I would like to find out if I can request a public record for the Stringfellow lawsuit settlement amount in Riverside, California. My father died in 1994 right before the settlement paid out and my sister has the paper work. I was supposed to receive one third and would like to find out how much it really was. Is there a fee? Thank you for the information.			

PR A-2018-02198	Kalz	Mike	10/22/2018	<p>I realize your response said that you don't retain the "no longer interested forms", but is there a way to determine (and let me know) if Kern County is submitting them to you on a regular basis when certifications they issue expire? I have information that the county may have misrepresented their authority to access criminal history information and abused their access and I intend to write about it. So if they're surveilling people whose certifications expired five or ten years ago by not submitting those "no longer interested forms", that's an important component to the larger overall story.</p> <p>What process happens at DOJ when a "no longer interested form" is received? How are they received? Perhaps the system by which they transmit this notification has some kind of record, by whatever name it's called, that can show that they are or are not notifying DOJ for "no longer interested".</p> <p>Also, you said that you don't retain the "request for livescan service" form. Does DOJ not have any records, by whatever name/form number, kept of the livescan submittals Kern County has submitted to CalDOJ? That is the "smoking gun" that removes any inferences and proves the county misrepresented their authority to access criminal history information. Is there any record at all that would help with this?</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the DOJ does not have records that would be responsive to what you are seeking.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to these requests with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>	<p>you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-02112	Kuranda (Facebook, Google, Alphabet)	Sarah	10/23/2018	<p>Any and all emails, texts, or other communications sent or received by Attorney General Xavier Becerra with the terms "Facebook", "@fb.com", "Google", "@google.com", or "Alphabet" from January 1, 2016 through the day this request is processed.</p> <p>I would like these records in electronic format transmitted via email or another digital format. Please refrain from sending paper copies of the records.</p> <p>If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, I ask you to note whether the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information.</p> <p>If you determine that some but not all the information is exempt from disclosure and that you intend to withhold it, I ask that you redact it for the time being and make the rest available as requested. In any event, please provide a signed notification citing the legal authorities on which you rely if you determine that any or all the information is exempt and will not be disclosed.</p> <p>Please waive any applicable fees. I am a journalist at the technology publication, The Information, and these records are being sought for public dissemination.</p> <p>I, of course, reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information or to deny a waiver of fees. As I am making this request as a journalist and this information is of timely value, I would appreciate your communicating with me by telephone, rather than by mail, if you have questions regarding this request.</p>	Completed	Gisele Rainer	<p>On November 16, 2018, Giesele Rainer responded: On November 2, 2018, the Department notified you that the deadline to respond to your request was extended by 14 days. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).)</p> <p>We have identified responsive records. However, some of these records are exempt from disclosure. Specifically, some records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) In the present case, the attorneys in our office provide legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>Additionally, some records are exempt due to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are</p>	

PR A-2018-	Rocho (3rd Party records)	Jennifer	10/23/2018	I'm interested in seeing what public records might be available for a person who used to live in California, and just moved to Colorado. Garrett Ouzts. Born [REDACTED] Transferred from Travis AFB in California to the AFA in Colorado. I'm at a loss for how to navigate your website though.				<p>formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Moreover, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Also, to the extent your request seeks law enforcement records of the Attorney General, Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].)</p> <p>That same exemption protects the personal security of the Attorney General and his staff, and we will not disclose certain travel information or produce documents or information about the Attorney General's security detail or other Department of Justice security-related information. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (f); 6255.) Information to protect the security of the Attorney General will be redacted from documents that contain other non-exempt information.</p> <p>The nonexempt records responsive to your request are attached to the email message transmitting this letter.</p> <p>On November 2, 2018, Gisele Rainer sent an extension letter.</p>	Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and</p>
------------	---------------------------	----------	------------	--	--	--	--	---	---------------	-----------	---



PR A-2018-02123	Sperla (Prop 65)	Greg	10/23/2018	<p>1. All communications between anyone within the AG's Prop 65 Unit and any other person relating to NOV No. 2014-00691, including the notice of withdrawal.</p> <p>2. All documents, excluding emails and regulatory filings, relating to the application of 27 CCR § 25707 that are authored, in whole or part, by any person from your office or OEHHA.</p>	DLA Piper LLP	Completed	<p>You limited the request to electronic copies of responsive records without first contacting you before incurring a charge. You excluded from the request records made confidential by California Code of Regulations, title 11, § 3100 et seq. or otherwise exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. You also excluded "communications for submission or service of copies of any Notices of Violation to the A G's office by a private enforcer."</p> <p>We have conducted a search and enclosed the responsive records that we located. Due to the small number of responsive records, we are providing the hard copies at no charge.</p>	<p>DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PR A-2018-02174	Wood	Phyllis	10/23/2018	Copies of any civil cases, appearance tickets, traffic crash reports, incident reports, criminal cases, arrest reports, booking photos, booking cards or police contacts for: Edward Lawrence Taylor.		Completed	<p>We have searched our legal indices and were unable to determine which, if any, of our cases relate to the subject of your request. If you have additional information on the cases you are looking for, such as dates, case names, case numbers, or other identifying information, please provide that information to us.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are</p>	Erin Nickless



PR A-2018-02178	Burrell (compassionate release)	George	10/20/2018	<p>I would like to be provided two (2) application packets which to request for clemency. I would require instructions as to whether I could apply for these forms of relief at the same time on a single clemency request application or would I need to file a separate application for each of these:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Compassionate release</li> <li>b) Commutation of current sentence</li> <li>c) Pardon based on innocence</li> <li>d) Any other forms of clemency</li> </ul> <p>Also, the prison law libraries do not have reference Constitution books for the Federal Constitution or the State of California Constitution, and the copy that I owned was stolen by prison guards in 2015, therefore, could a copy be sent to me, please?</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Board of Parole Hearings, and/or the office of the Governor for the forms that you are seeking. If your facility is unable to provide you with a Public Records Request Form, you can contact them at</p>
-----------------	---------------------------------	--------	------------	--	-----------	---------------	--

PR A-2018-02120	Henry (bankrupt cy)	George	10/24/2018	Ronald J. Wood Bankruptcy Petition #: 8:09-bk-24517-ES Document 6 - Audio tape			California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Office of Labor Relations, 1515 S Street, Room 125 South or P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, California 94283-0001. For information on gubernatorial pardons, you would need to contact the Governor's office. Their address is: Governor Edmund G. Brown, c/o State Capitol, Suite 1173, Sacramento, CA 95814.  For copies of the Constitutions that you are seeking, you may wish to contact the legislative branch that maintains the most current publications of these documents.			Erin Nickless	We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records possibly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency. Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: <a href="http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html">http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html</a> .
PR A-2018-02121	Malone	Albert	10/24/2018	I require whatever pamphlets, brochures, information and documentation the California Attorney General's Office and Department of Justice maintains, distributes or otherwise makes available to the public on: 1. Methods of correction, modification, adjustment of all incorrect entries in the MEGAN'S LAW SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY maintained by the State of California. 2. A current printout, listing and/or disclosure made by the MEGAN'S LAW SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY on this requestor.			In response to your first request, we have no responsive documents. As for your second request, please find enclosed a current printout/screenshot of your Megan's Law post. Please note that the literal offenses have been changed on your Megan's Law website posting pursuant to current statute.	Completed		Michael Dolida	In response to your first request, we have no responsive documents. As for your second request, please find enclosed a current printout/screenshot of your Megan's Law post. Please note that the literal offenses have been changed on your Megan's Law website posting pursuant to current statute.
PR A-2018-02122	Evans	Lillian	10/24/2018	My name is Lillian Evans and I am requesting any records and the 911 recording regarding my case. I am the victim, the report number is 18-13 21175, the incident number is 181017004842. This case is being investigated by the LAPD. The date of this incident is October 17, 2018 My contact information is [REDACTED] and email [REDACTED]			To the extent that you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call or copies of a police report, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Los Angeles Police Department. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services,	Completed			To the extent that you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call or copies of a police report, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Los Angeles Police Department. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services,

									you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights</a> .
PR A-2018-02128	Saul	Isaac	10/25/2018		I am trying to pull records for Robert L. Roper, born [REDACTED] 18 years in San Quentin and then Chino Prison for assault with a deadly weapon, drug distribution, assaulting a police officer and vehicular theft. I am looking for any court records that may verify this history. I am a journalist working on a story about Mr. Roper's son and he has made these claims as well as provided audio recordings of his interview with his father, Robert L. Roper, discussing his sentencing. The only Robert L Roper I could find in ca.gov records was a sex offender who was 15 years older than the Robert L. Roper I am looking for. Mr. Roper is out of prison now and I am just trying to confirm their story is accurate.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. We would note for your information that if these cases are extremely old. Any related records may have been destroyed years ago pursuant to record retention policies for the department.	
PR A-2018-02129	Cubetus	Jon	10/25/2018		If available, I am looking for any briefs filed by the California AG in the cases California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court, 67 Cal.App.4th 159 (1998) and Citizens for Open Government v. City of Lodi, 205 Cal.App.4th 296 (2012).	Completed	Ted Prim	We are not able to provide the records requested because they are not in our possession as discussed below. California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court (1988) 67 Cal.App.4th 159. Our office filed briefs in connection with this case in 1998, but these records have been destroyed in accordance with the Department's records retention schedule. Civil case files of this type are scheduled for destruction after seven years or in 2005 in this instance. Notwithstanding, we conducted a search, but were unable to locate the briefs. Citizens for Open Government v. City of Lodi (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 296 We can find no indication that our office filed a brief in connection with this case, and therefore, we have no brief to provide in response to your request. Our office did, however, send a letter to the California Supreme Court requesting depublication of the appellate court decision which was subsequently denied. Even though this letter is not technically a brief, we are nevertheless providing it. It is attached to the email message transmitting this letter.	
PR A-2018-	Quinto (police reports)	Carlo	10/25/2018		I would like to request a police report because I was the victim of a crime in the year of 1992 in Inwindale County. I am in the process of submitting a U-Visa certification and I do not have the actual report. All I have is an application for crime victim compensation that has some of the details of the case. Case Information: Arresting Agency: Inwindale Police Department	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We	

021 30				Date of Incident: 05/31/1992 Name of Suspects: Pedro Romero, Eduardo Gutierrez, and Roldofo Gutierrez Crime Report #: 92-0454 Crime Code: 211 P.C. Robbery				have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-02131	Rambo	Jacob	10/25/2018	Under the Public Records Act, Information Practices Act, and any other provision of law applicable to the disclosure of government records, I request all materials submitted by Charles Randall "Randy" Pettit, including any aliases not known to me, pertaining to any request for relief from public disclosure of his sex offender registration status resulting from his victimization of Sonia Quinones nee Sonia Rambo. I further request any disclosable records related to Attorney General's prosecution of petitions for review against Mr. Pettit in the courts of appeal and the California Supreme Court, including transcripts, briefs, probation and court records, sentencing documents, and any other documents in your position. I am Mrs. Rambo's spouse and I am authorized to make an IPA and victim's rights requests on her behalf. If you require verification of her consent please notify me immediately.	Completed	Michae I Dolida		You further indicated that you are "Mrs. Rambo's spouse and [you are] authorized to make an IPA and victim's rights requests on her behalf. If you require verification of her consent please notify me immediately." We are further informed that you have also requested a "the SARA TSO risk assessment tool for Mr. Pettit and all documentation relied upon to conclude that Mr Pettit is low or low moderate risk." Unfortunately, we must deny your request. Subject to limited exceptions, the information provided by sex offenders during the registration process and/or pertaining to their registration status is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, §§ 290.021 and 290.46(e) and (i).) This includes information provided in connection with an application to be excluded from the internet. Any responsive records in our possession are part of Mr. Pettit's registration materials. Accordingly, the records are exempt from disclosure. We must also deny your request under the Information Practices Act (IPA,) (Civ. Code§ 1798 et seq.) The IPS does not apply to information maintained for criminal law enforcement purposes (Civ. Code§ 1798.40) and the IPA does not supersede the PRA (Civ. Code§ 1798.75). Regarding the SARTSO risk assessment information, we have no responsive documents. You may be able to obtain this information by contacting SARTSO directly. Please note, however, the department is currently in the process of investigating and reevaluating Mr. Pettit's posting status with respect to the Megan's Law website. Any questions with respect to that issue should be directed to Deputy Attorney General Brian Segal who can be reached at (916) 210-3356.

PR A-2018-02147	Johnson Kenneth	10/25/2018	1. "Language in abeyance letters with reasoning," regarding "habeas corpus petitions held in abeyance pending CDCR'CCR Tit. 15 § 349(b)(l) changes (ordered void in In re Edwards, App.No: B288086, decided Sept. 07 2018"; and; 2. "Copy of CDCR's changed CCR Tit. 15 § 3490 et seq. and§ 2449.1 et seq."	Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	In response to your first request, I have enclosed a copy of a "Motion to Hold Proceedings in Abeyance," filed in Case No. B289140, before the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two. In response to your second request, there are no documents responsive to this request as CDCR has not yet finalized and submitted its amended regulations to the Office of Administrative Law.
PR A-2018-02156	Taylor Herbert Charles	10/25/2018	Herbert Charles Taylor, contact information is [REDACTED] called stating he received a letter stating that he is not able to purchase a firearm because he is a felon and he states that he is "NOT" a felon. Please reach out to this individual and direct him to the correct section or unit so that he is able to resolve this issue.	Completed	BOF	Bureau of Firearms contact requester directly.
PR A-2018-02134	Kerns (Residence Info)	10/26/2018	i would like to know how long the previous owner has owned the house i live in before i bought it I'm just trying to get an idea of what to fix in my new home.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PR A-2018-02135	Martin (3rd Party Info)	10/26/2018	John B. Jacobs from Summitt, MS. [REDACTED] SSN [REDACTED]	Completed	Alan Macina	November 9, 2018 response: The search terms "John B. Jacobs," "John Jacobs," "B. Jacobs," and simply "Jacobs" did not return any records that correspond to your request. Nor did date-of-birth or Social Security number searches corresponding to the information you provided as listed above. You noted that the person about whom you seek information has a criminal history in four states other than California (AR, CT, LA, & ME). Again, if you wish to obtain records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that state or agency. Best wishes to you and for your search. November 5, 2018 response: I note that we spoke by telephone today about your request and you added that the individual about whom you seek information (1) is from Summit, MS; (2) the date above and Social Security

PR A-2018-02136	Goldberg	Robert	10/26/2018	Seal Beach reported one homicide in July 2017 on their "Return A" form. However, the downloadable crime data for calendar 2017 from your website indicates zero homicides. I am requesting copies of the State DOJ's review of this death that determined that it was not a criminal homicide. Alternatively, if the posted 2017 crime statistics are in error, and should show one homicide, please inform me of this.				number refers to his date-of-birth and Social Security number, (3) and that he has a criminal history in four states (AR, CT, LA, & ME). We will now search for records related to your request.  Also, for the following reasons, this office is extending the date for responding to your request for an additional fourteen days beyond the original ten-day deadline. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) Fourteen days beyond the initial ten-day deadline is November 19, 2018. Agencies may invoke the extension for several reasons as follows:  1. The need to search for and collect records from field offices or other facilities that are separate from the office processing the request;  2. The need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records which are demanded in a single request; and  3. The need for consultation, which shall be conducted with all practicable speed, with another agency having a substantial interest in the determination of the request or among two or more components of the agency having a substantial subject-matter interest in the material. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).)  Here, an extension is necessary to review records and communicate with the agency or agencies that may have a substantial interest in any material that may be subject to disclosure. Also, please note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.  In the meantime, please contact me at the phone number or e-mail address listed on the first page of this letter should you have any questions. We will respond as soon as we have completed our record search.	Jaimie Tackett	Completed	The California Department of Justice's (DOJ's) records indicate that Seal Beach did not report any homicides for July 2017. As such, the DOJ does not have responsive records for this request.  The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you
-----------------	----------	--------	------------	---	--	--	--	---	----------------	-----------	---



PR A-2018-02151	Males	Michael	10/26/2018	DOJ/Open Justice has been outstanding in providing the raw, annual statewide arrest file (with names, addresses, SSNs, and other personal identifiers redacted) showing at least the date of arrest, county, jurisdiction, age, sex, race/Hispanic ethnicity, and detailed offense for 2016 and 2017. I am writing to ask if this same file, or similar file, is available for previous years. I realize these are large files, so I want to keep these requests to a minimum. Would, say, the same/similar raw arrest files be available for 1980 and/or 1990?	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) settlement agreement, and (2) the two publicly available court-filed documents that confirm other terms of the Mountain fire-related settlements. As such, we are transmitting herewith the above-referenced documents.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but appears to be possibly seeking records from other California departments, such as CAL FIRE and/or the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p> <p>We have no records responsive to your request.</p>
PR A-2018-02169	Blutstein	Allan	10/26/2018	All communications *sent* by the Attorney General and the Solicitor General on October 24, 2018 that mention or refer to the lawsuit filed against Exxon by the New York State Attorney General on the same day.	Completed	Erin Nickless	
PR A-2018-02138	Hill (CH, restraining orders)	Kathy	10/29/2018	All records on Chad Smith DOB [REDACTED] Domestic violence, restraining, Terror threats	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek regarding any type of restraining order, it would be maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS), which is a statewide database designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). For this reason, we must deny your request.</p>



PR A-2018-02139	Enriquez	Roldan	10/29/2018	Merger Decisions of 2011 annual report to congress with total of 84 approved by DOJ request made by FDIC. records of my Target institutions. sample of Cert#27530 approved date 11/04/2011 20111409 send all available approved date number corresponding to each bank institution applied.				<p>To the extent that you are requesting records regarding terror threats, and to the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Gov. Code section 6254, subdivision (f). By asserting this exemption, we neither confirm nor deny the existence of the investigation that is the subject of your request.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from the courts as these records are public.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and consulted with knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the California DOJ is a State agency, and independently run from the United States Department of Justice (US DOJ). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the US DOJ, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to US DOJ under the Freedom of Information Act can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.justice.gov/oip/make-foia-request-doj">https://www.justice.gov/oip/make-foia-request-doj</a>.</p>
PR A-2018-02140	Emberton (unspecific records)	Ronnie	10/29/2018	any and all information is requested and appreciated.				<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <p>-Providing the actual name or title of</p>

PR A-2018-02141	Sanders (unspecified)	Deirdre	10/29/2018	<p>ILLEGAL LIEN SALE OF 1990 MBZ Vehicle License Plate No. [REDACTED]</p> <p>Deirdre Sanders [REDACTED]</p> <p>Email: [REDACTED]</p> <p>October 28, 2018</p> <p>CALIFORNIA STATE INVESTIGATORS FRAUD, MALICIOUS PROSECUTION AND TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE (INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE) AND ILLEGAL SURVEILLANCE BY SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION ODAR OFFICES</p> <p>Just day after I purchased 1990 MBZ Vehicle License Plate No. [REDACTED].</p> <p>Someone alleging to be FBI Agent Maddy / State Investigator, on April 25, 2014 Placed and Illegal GPS Device that was attached to my (Marksman's Extreme Series Model X3) car alarm in my 1990 MBZ Vehicle License Plate No. [REDACTED] by Agent Maddy at Car Audio Warehouse 16910 E. 14th Street San Leandro, Ca. 94578 (510) 276-4200, between 4:00pm - 6:00pm.</p> <p>Then on July 30, 2014 New Park Mall (Sear's Auto Repair Parking Lot) 3000 Mowry Avenue Newark, Ca. after Agent Maddy sabotaged my 1990 Mercedes 300E mysteriously broke down and would not move.</p> <p>And started a roughage with the NEWPARK MALL SECURITY Officer's getting him to aid and abet him in Malicious Harassment by the State of California Investigator's and Informant's.</p> <p>Who were attempting to have my vehicle TOWED when I REFUSED to have my repairs performed my Sear's Auto Automotive.</p> <p>My car was sent to Car Quest Auto Repair for a simple fender bender accident, less than 5 day later.</p> <p>Then I was conveniently ARRESTED and FRAUDULENTLY IMPRISONED on 8/3/2014.</p> <p>SANTA RITA JAIL POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULTERS 1st POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULT</p> <p>OFFICER BADGE NO. DATE: REPORT NO. CHARGE</p> <p>Officer Gus Vargus 08/03//14</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>the record.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We note that you appear to be</p>
-----------------	-----------------------	---------	------------	--	-----------	---------------	---

				reporting a crime, rather than requesting public records. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime">https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime</a> .
			<p>POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULT Officer Cruz 08/03/14 POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULT Officer Chris Miller 08/03/14 POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULT</p> <p>WHO STOLE \$800.00 MY PROPERTY, Intellectual MATERIAL, Theft EVIDENCE TAMPERING, RICCO, RETALIATION, COLOR OF LAW, HATE CRIME, Domestic Terrorist Assaults and FALSE IMPRISONMENT Hate Crime</p> <p>ALAMEDA POLICE DEPARTMENT ARRESTING OFFICER OFFICER BADGE NO. DATE: REPORT NO. CHARGE J. Doland Arresting Officer 08/03/14 EXCESSIVE FORCE, FALSE CLAIMS</p> <p>THEFT OF PROPERTY/ CASH, Intellectual MATERIAL EVIDENCE TAMPERING, RICCO, RETALIATION, COLOR OF LAW, HATE CRIME, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Domestic Terrorist Assaults, \$800.00 Theft</p> <p>So, that my car could be EASILY sold without any intervention from me the legal owner!</p> <p>A few days later I received a letter stating that my vehicle had been sold in a lien sale at Pat's Tow.</p> <p>However, I had NEVER RECEIVED ANY COMMUNICATIONS from State Farm Insurance Company my Auto Insurance Carrier.</p> <p>In advance of the PREMATURE LIEN SALE after placing my car in the shop for repairs</p> <p>The law requires that the Lien Sale a here to the following conditions prior to placing a vehicle for lien sale!</p> <p>The legal owner must be notified before the service may be performed if the amount of any repairs or service exceeds \$750 or the storage charges exceed \$400 (\$500 for vehicles valued over \$4,000).</p> <p>Before conducting a lien sale, the lienholder must determine the value of the vehicle. If the vehicle's value is \$4,000 or less, the registered and legal owners of record will be notified by the party conducting the lien (See "How To: Conduct a Lien Sale for a Vehicle Valued \$4,000 or Less.")</p> <p>If the vehicle's value is greater than \$4,000, DMV notifies all interested parties.</p> <p>Probable cause for a civil action against the State of California, Car Quest Auto Repair and State Farm the legal owner who was never notified of vehicle sale until the vehicle was old!</p> <p>DUE TO FRAUD AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATORS!</p> <p>The Social Security Administration has been LYING about utilizing current accurate medical records in their Final Determination since 2007.</p> <p>However, they do not have any UPDATED medical records since 2007 because they were DESTROYED by FBI Agent Hoss, after I served them upon the Administration.</p> <p>THEREBY, MAKING ALL MY DECISIONS SINCE 2007 ARE INACCURATE AND WITHOUT UPDATED MEDICAL RECORDS!</p> <p>Requesting Authorization to Conduct a Lien Sale for a Vehicle Valued Over \$4,000 you must apply to the DMV for authorization to conduct a lien sale. according to the provisions of Civil Code §3071. A fee must accompany your application. This fee may be recovered by the lienholder as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• From the buyer at the time of the lien sale or</li> <li>• From the debtor if the vehicle is redeemed.</li> </ul> <p>Your application for authorization must contain the vehicle identification number. If you cannot locate the number, you</p>	

				<p>may request an inspection of the vehicle by a peace officer, licensed vehicle verifier, or an authorized DMV employee. Storage Lien Limitation the period of time for a storage lien may not exceed 60 days, unless an application for authorization to conduct the lien sale is filed within 30 days after the lien arises, and then it may be for a period not to exceed 120 days (pursuant to California Vehicle Code §22851). Other liens for towing or contracted services (such as mechanical repairs) apply, regardless of the period allowed. Any vehicle stored at a self-storage facility for which a lien has incurred shall be sold under the provisions of Civil Code §3071.</p> <p>Notification of Your Request</p> <p>When DMV receives a request to conduct a lien sale for a vehicle valued over \$4,000, the department shall notify the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Registered and legal owners and any interested parties by certified mail</li><li>or</li><li>• Out-of-state vehicle registry, if the vehicle bears registration from another state.</li></ul> <p>Authority To Conduct the Lien Sale</p> <p>You must wait for authorization from DMV before proceeding with the lien sale process. Allow 30-40 days to receive authorization.</p> <p>Can Someone Oppose the Lien Sale?</p> <p>Any person having an interest in the vehicle may declare opposition to the sale. DMV will notify you if a declaration of opposition is filed and the sale canceled. You may then secure your lien:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Through judicial proceeding. Service may be effected by certified mail or in person by a marshal, sheriff, or certified process server (process servers are registered by the county clerk of the respective counties). This must be done within 30 days from the date on the denial letter.</li><li>or</li><li>• By obtaining a release of interest signed by the person(s) who declared opposition.</li><li>or</li><li>• By filing a Notice of Unsuccessful Service with DMV when service cannot be effected on the person opposing the sale.</li></ul> <p>Evidence of unsuccessful service is required.</p> <p>When DMV receives one of the listed items, DMV will send authorization to continue with the sale and notify the person who opposed the sale of the authorization.</p> <p>Conducting a Lien Sale</p> <p>Step 1. Twenty days before the sale date you must provide by certified mail (return receipt requested) a Notice of Pending Lien Sale (REG 280) to each of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Legal owner, if any</li><li>• Registered owner</li><li>• Any interested parties</li><li>• DMV, Lien Sale Unit, P.O. Box 932317, Sacramento, CA 94232-3170.</li></ul> <p>Step 2. At least five days, but not more than 20 days before the sale (not counting the sale date), you must give notice of the sale by advertising the sale for one day in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the vehicle is located.</p> <p>If there is no newspaper published in the county, notice shall be given by posting the Notice of Sale:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• In three of the most public places in the town where the vehicle is located, and</li><li>• At the place where the vehicle is to be sold for 10 consecutive days prior to and including the day of the sale.</li></ul> <p>All notices shall specify the make, year model, vehicle identification number, license number, and state of registration, if available. For motorcycles, the engine number must also be specified. The specific date, exact time, and place of sale must also be included.</p> <p>Step 3. The vehicle must be available for inspection at a location easily accessible to the public for at least one hour before the sale and must be at the place of sale at the time and date specified on the notice of sale.</p> <p>Sealed bids shall not be accepted. If the auction price exceeds the amount of the lien, the excess amount must be sent to the Lien Sale Unit within 15 days after the sale date.</p> <p>Step 4. After the sale, a redemption period of 10 days (not counting the date of sale) must be allowed before the purchaser can take possession of the vehicle and apply for transfer of ownership.</p> <p>Step 5. You are required to keep the vehicle for a 10-day redemption period. After this redemption period, give the buyer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A Certification of Lien Sale (REG 168) completed and signed by you.</li><li>• A copy of the authorization letter from DMV to conduct the sale (REG 338).</li><li>• Postal receipts for the notices you sent.</li></ul> <p>Step 6. The license plates must be removed and destroyed at the time of the sale, and you must submit to DMV within five days of the sale a Notice of Transfer and Release of Liability.</p> <p>What if No One Places a Qualifying Bid on the Vehicle?</p> <p>Complete the Certification of Lien Sale (REG 168) showing you as the buyer (new owner), as well as the seller. Should you sell the vehicle following the lien sale where you were the buyer, you</p>
--	--	--	--	--

PR A-2018-02142	Zuber (death records)	Cynthia	10/29/2018	<p>will be required to give the buyer a bill of sale in addition to the documents listed for registering the vehicle. See note below regarding payment of fees.</p> <p>Obviously none of this legal process ever took place because I was ONLY in Jail for 24 hrs at the most! I POSTED BAIL WITH ALLADIAN BAILS BONDS AND THEY STOLE THE BAIL MONEY ALSO AFTER HAVING MY BAIL EXONERATED BY THE JUDGE. THEY EVEN HAD THE ARDASAITTY TO TAKE PAYMENTS FOR ONE YEAR FROM MY DAUGHTER FOR THE ENTIRE AMOUNT OF THE BAIL!</p> <p>So, why was my vehicle sold from up under me after the Officer STOLE MY MONEY THAT I WOULD HAVE HAD TO RETRIEVE MY VEHICLE!</p> <p>There is no end to the Corruption in the State of California and I have had my fill of it!</p> <p>It's high time for the State of California to held accountable for their Illegal activity!</p> <p>DECLARATION</p> <p>I declare under penalty of perjury that all the above is true and to the best of the declarant Deirdre E. Sanders knowledge.</p> <p>Sign: Deirdre E. Sanders Date: October 28, 2018</p> <p>Death Records of Donald Hauser and Otto Notzl in the state of California during 2014-2018.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PR A-2018-02143	Hancock (unspecific records)	Ryan	10/29/2018	Any records	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the</li> </ul>

PR A-2018-02144	Lucchesi (MuckRock)	Annita	10/29/2018	<p>Data on all cases of: unsolved missing persons, and unsolved/solved homicides, suspicious deaths, and deaths in custody involving an Alaska Native/American Indian woman or girl victim within your jurisdiction(s). For the purposes of this request, "Alaska Native/American Indian" is defined as any individual who was identified as a member of an Alaska Native or American Indian community or as having Alaska Native/American Indian heritage. "woman or girl" includes all victims identified as females, as well as transgender women. If there are any Jane Does that have been identified as potentially Alaska Native or American Indian, please include them as well. We are requesting cases dating from 1988 to the present.</p> <p>This request is for basic information on cases as classified above. Such information may include but is not limited to victim name, location and date of disappearance/death, age of victim, status of case (solved or unsolved).</p>	Muckrock	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents, which include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Death in Custody records.</li> <li>• Homicide records. Note, we excluded the names of the homicide victims to prevent re-identification due to the victim to offender relationship.</li> </ul> <p>Moreover, the DOJ is not in a position to confirm whether these homicides are suspicious, solved, or unsolved, or whether or not the victim identified as transgender as we do not receive such data. You may want to confirm this with the reporting law enforcement agency (LEA).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Missing person's report. Note, the race code to enter for American Indian is "I;" however, records may have been entered by law enforcement with the thought that the race code meant "Indian" as opposed to "American Indian." You may want to confirm the race with the reporting LEA. Moreover, "Alaska Native" is not an option for a race field in either the California Missing Persons System, or the California Unidentified Persons System.</li> </ul> <p>Furthermore, there are two unidentified, deceased Jane Doe cases in the California Unidentified Persons</p>	<p>contents of the record.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
-----------------	---------------------	--------	------------	--	----------	-----------	----------------	---	---



PR A-2018-02148	Rixey (3rd Party Records)	Amy	10/29/2018	I am requesting any records on Brandon Matthew Watson, 27 years old living in Humboldt County California. He is a suspect in a murder investigation and I need to find any past records he may have that he is a true criminal so he can't hurt someone else.		Completed	Erin Nickless	be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime">https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime</a> . Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
-----------------	---------------------------	-----	------------	---	--	-----------	---------------	--





								Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-02152	Baker	Joan	10/29/2018	Anv and all reports either pertaining or regarding the death of my brother, Neil Thomas VanDagriff. Date of death: [REDACTED]. Location of death, Tracy, Ca in San Joaquin County.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Death in Custody & Arrest-Related Deaths data is available to the public on OpenJustice, a transparency initiative led by the California Department of Justice (DOJ) that publishes criminal justice data so that the DOJ can understand how it' s doing, hold itself accountable, and improve public policy to make California safer. See more information here: http://v.ww. openjustice.do j.ca. gov/. California law enforcement agencies report to the DOJ information on any death that occurs while an individual is: (1) in the process of arrest; (2) killed by use of force by law enforcement; (3) in the temporary custody of a law enforcement agency; or (4) incarcerated in a city, county, or state correctional facility. To the extent that you are requesting any of the above-related records, after consulting with various programs within the DOJ, the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. To the extent that you are requesting any other records relating to the death of Neil Thomas VanDagriff, the DOJ would not have responsive records. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-02154	Bauer	M ke	10/29/2018	Under the California freedom of information act I Mike Bauer with Rubicon Global is requesting invoices for the following information for the waste and recycling for the park districts. 1- Waste and Recycling Hauler being used- 2-How many dumpsters you have- 3- The size of dumpsters- 4- How often are they picked up- I am currently working in California doing a waste analysis for the trash and recycling to make sure everyone is paying the fair market rate for their services		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to State parks, you may wish to direct your request to the

PR A-2018-02155	Davis (Franklin Armory)	Jason	10/29/2018	<p>Currently, handguns must be listed by make and model in order to be sold, with certain exceptions, within California. The list of firearms is available to the public on the California Department of Justice's website. At this time, it is our belief that Franklin Armory is the only firearm manufacturer with unique comments and pictures of the firearms approved for sale within California pursuant to the Unsafe Handgun Act and posted by the Bureau of Firearms relating to their firearm.</p> <p>Specifically, on the page identifying the handguns manufactured by Franklin Armory that are listed and approved for sale within California, it reads:</p> <p>[quote] The tested and approved SE-SSP has a solid magazine well, a pink Ergo brand grip, lacks a gas tube, has a 10.25" stainless bull barrel, and has an overall length of 25".</p> <p>Penal Code section 32100(b) states:</p> <p>(b) Article 4 (commencing with Section 31900) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 32000) shall not apply to a single-shot pistol with a break top or bolt action and a barrel length of not less than six inches and that has an overall length of at least 10 1/2 inches when the handle, frame or receiver, and barrel are assembled. However, Article 4 (commencing with Section 31900) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 32000) shall apply to a semiautomatic pistol that has been temporarily or permanently altered so that it will not fire in a semiautomatic mode. [end quote]</p> <p>That page is available here: <a href="https://www.oag.ca.gov/firearms/certguns?make=Franklin+Armory">https://www.oag.ca.gov/firearms/certguns?make=Franklin+Armory</a></p> <p>In addition to the above quoted text, twelve pictures were also posted on the web page identifying the firearms manufactured by Franklin Armory and approved by the Department of Justice.</p> <p>We seek the following documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that identify the date that the above quoted was added.</li> <li>2. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that identify the author of the above quoted text and/or pictures.</li> <li>3. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that constitute instructions to place the quoted text and/or pictures on the page listing Franklin Armory's approved handguns.</li> <li>4. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that refer or relate to the decision to post the above quoted text and/or pictures on the page listing Franklin Armory's approved handguns.</li> <li>5. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that refer or relate to the evaluation, examination, determination of lawfulness, determination of listing, and/or listing of Franklin Armory's handguns listed and approved for sale within California that are identified on the page cited above.</li> <li>6. Any and all writings that refer, relate, or identify any other firearm manufacturer's listing on the approved handgun listing maintained by the Department of Justice that contains text in red font, or pictures, or that contains comment information unique to that specific firearm manufacturer, or comments unique to a specific firearm listed on the list of firearms approved for sale within California. This request does not include any references to the universally quoted text that states: "All handguns listed are approved with or without night sights."</li> <li>6. Any and all writings that refer or relate to any determination by the Department of Justice or any agent or expert utilized by the Department of Justice that the Franklin Armory pistols listed by the Department of Justice as being approved for sale are semiautomatic pistols that have been temporarily or permanently altered so that it will not fire in a semiautomatic mode.</li> <li>7. Any and all writings that refer or relate to the reason for posting the comments quoted above and/or pictures on the page that lists Franklin Armory's firearms approved for sale within California.</li> <li>8. Any and all writings that refer or relate to the reason for not posting the information quoted above on the listings maintained by the Department of Justice for other firearm models and firearm manufacturers that have firearms approved for sale within the State of California?</li> <li>9. Any and all writings that refer or relate to the Department of Justice's support, recommendation, or approval of Penal Code section 32100(b)'s language that precludes the Unsafe Handgun Act exemption from applying to semiautomatic pistols that have been temporarily or permanently altered so that the pistols will not fire in a semiautomatic mode.</li> <li>10. Any and all writings that identify firearms that were semiautomatic pistols that have been temporarily or permanently altered so that it will not fire in a semiautomatic mode. This includes any writings that identify pistols by makes and/or models that have been sold subject to the, now repealed, "single shot exemption" formerly found within Penal Code section 32100(b).</li> <li>11. Any and all writings that identify, state, or estimate the total number of semiautomatic pistols that have been temporarily or permanently altered so that the pistol will not fire in a semiautomatic mode that were sold or registered within California.</li> </ol>	Rolling Production	Public Records	<p>California Department of Parks and Recreation. Information on requesting records from their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=1084">https://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=1084</a>.</p> <p>January 4, 2019 production: On November 8, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request, and on December 5, 2018, we sent you an update regarding the request. The following is a further update to your request:</p> <p>We have identified records responsive to item 6 of your request. Some of those records are exempt wholly or partially exempt from production because they are subject to the deliberative process, attorney work-product, or attorney client privilege. The following is an explanation why such materials are exempt pursuant to those privileges:</p> <p>Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption: Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5</p>
-----------------	-------------------------	-------	------------	--	--------------------	----------------	---

12. Any and all writings, as that term is identified in Evidence Code section 250, that constitute, refer, or relate to this Public Records Act Request, including but not limited to, any e-mails, logs, letters, faxes, instructions, or relaying of this request to individual employees, officers, or agents of the Department of Justice for responsive materials and replies thereto.

[Franklin Armory Handguns Listed]  
Franklin Armory SE-SSP SKU 3125-BLK / Steel Pistol 10.25" Bull  
Barrel 5.56 NATO 1/1/19  
Franklin Armory SE-SSP SKU 3125-PNK / Steel Pistol 10.25" Bull  
Barrel 5.56 NATO 1/1/1

Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

The attorneys in our department, including attorneys working in the Bureau of Firearms, provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work.

Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

In some instances, we have identified email strings in which non-privileged communications are forwarded in privileged communications. In those instances, we are producing copies redacting the privileged portions of the communications.

In addition to applying redactions to protect privileged content, we have redacted the last digits of the direct phone numbers of the Department's Bureau of Firearms employees. Given the sensitivity around some of the work performed by the Bureau, its employees are sometimes the subject of harassment and/or threats. We withhold complete direct-dial numbers of our Bureau employees from our responses to Public Records Act requests in an effort to minimize that harassment, because it is easier to attract and retain talented workers, and people are better able to perform their job when they feel safe at work. (See Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a) [allowing an agency to withhold records when "on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record"]; For similar reasons, and to protect individual privacy (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating other exemptions and prohibitions into the Public Records Act exemptions]), we have also redacted some of the contact information for persons working for well-known gun manufacturers with whom Department employees have communicated.

We anticipate providing you with an additional update and our first production of documents on or about February 4, 2019.

<p>December 5, 2108: On November 8, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request. The following is an update on our efforts to gather records that are responsive to this request.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, to the extent that you are requesting "all writings" that possibly refer to a specific subject in your requests, given the size of the Department, we must deny your requests. The Department has offices in six different cities and employs hundreds of people. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau, would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents referencing the subject of your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>However, because the records you seek would most likely be found in the possession of our Bureau of Firearms, we are conducting a search with knowledgeable persons and logical places within the Bureau. Even with these limitations, in part given the number of items in your request, in order to fully respond we will need to review a large volume of documents, many of which will need to be retrieved from storage. We have been diligently working to gather potentially responsive documents and we can provide you with the following updated responses:</p> <p>Response to Requests 1-4, and 8: The Department is in the process of compiling emails that are potentially responsive to these requests, but the compilation is not yet complete.</p> <p>Response to Requests 5 and 7: The Department has had to request boxes</p>																						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

from storage in order to respond to these requests. The boxes arrived on November 26, 2018, and we will produce non-exempt responsive documents from them on a rolling basis.

Response to Request 6: The Department is in the process of compiling emails that are potentially responsive to this request, but the compilation is not yet complete. In addition, the Department has requested documents from storage that may contain documents that are responsive to this request. The boxes arrived on November 26, 2018, and we will produce non-exempt responsive documents from them on a rolling basis.

Response to Request 9: We checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we did not identify any documents responsive to this request.

Response to Requests 10 and 11: The Department has interpreted these requests as seeking documents regarding Assembly Bill 1964 (Dickinson) (2013-2014 Reg. Sess.) and it is searching for any documents it may have that are related to the Bill. The Department also has identified testimony provided by Blake Graham at a Senate Public Safety Committee meeting. That testimony can be found here: [http://calchan.net.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view\\_id=&clip\\_id=2220&meta\\_id=30809](http://calchan.net.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=&clip_id=2220&meta_id=30809) (Mr. Graham's testimony starts at 53:39.)

Response to Request 12: The Department is in the process of determining whether its DROS data may provide responsive information.

Response to Request 13: The Department will produce all responsive documents that are not privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Please be advised that, given the nature of your request, it is likely that, to the extent they exist, many of the records responsive to it are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative process privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and/or the attorney client privilege. The following is an explanation why such materials would be exempt pursuant to those privileges: Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would



PR A-2018-02158	Orawczyk, Joe	10/29/2018	<p>I am seeking any records concerning Mr. JILLMER GUSTAVO MORALES EQUIZABAL, sole owner of J M E Construction per <a href="https://www2.cs.b.ca.gov/OnlineServices/CheckLicenses/PersonnelDetail.aspx?LicNum=921816&amp;SeqNumber=865468&amp;LicName=J+M+E+CONSTRUCTION">https://www2.cs.b.ca.gov/OnlineServices/CheckLicenses/PersonnelDetail.aspx?LicNum=921816&amp;SeqNumber=865468&amp;LicName=J+M+E+CONSTRUCTION</a></p> <p>He is also known as owner of JME Construction, Jilmer Morales per <a href="https://www.meetup.com/LongBeachReferralExchange/members/28803522/">https://www.meetup.com/LongBeachReferralExchange/members/28803522/</a></p> <p>J M E Construction is the general building contractor that was issued building permit # BLDG17-00798 by the City of Torrance, CA on May 1, 2017 to remodel a single family residence in Torrance. The house was subsequently inspected and sold in September 2017. After closing, false (or "bootleg") ground wiring was discovered in violation of Torrance Municipal Code, Division 8, Building and Safety, Chapter 2, §82.1.1, CCR Title 24, Part 3, §406.4 (D)(2)(b), §406.4 (D)(2)(c), and §406.10 (C), and 8 CCR § 2350.2 (c), as well as PEN 484 and PEN 487b. There are 53 other recently flipped properties in Torrance between JAN 2017 -JUL 2018 identified from permit records that are potential victims.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
-----------------	---------------	------------	---	-----------	---------------	--



PR A-2018-02279	Copeland	Jonathan	10/29/2018	"A copy of the California Burglaries and Robberies without Physical Harm Exempt for Three-Strikes Sentencing Law Initiative (17-0046) Allocation initiative."		Completed	Anabela Renteria	It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018", a copy is enclosed. This completes our response to your request.
PR A-2018-02159	Rivera-Andrade	Alexis	10/30/2018	Any records.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-02160	Lanphear	Christopher	10/30/2018	November 6 clarification received: Thank you for getting back to me. I will try my best to provide clarification to my request below. 1. I am requesting information for both IT and non-IT service contracts that were awarded through the competitive process with future expiration dates. Specifically I am looking for term/ annual contracts. 2. I would say that this would include public works contracts and helicopter contracts if they are term/ annual contracts. If not, then please do not include them. 3. In a perfect world, I would like information on all or most contracts, however since that would be an incredibly large request, I will try to narrow it down. Like I said before, I am specifically looking for term/ annual contracts that were awarded through the Bid/ RFP process and have a future expiration date. Information for one time projects does not need to be included in the request. To help to narrow the search, please only include information on term/ annual contracts from the past 3 years (2015 – present). I hope this helps with my request. If you need further clarification, please feel free to reach out to me. My contact info is listed below. October 30 request: Deltek is submitting a public records request. Can you please email me a list of every vendor term/annual contract with a future expiration date. I would like the list to contain the title/description, expiration date,		Completed	Stacy Heinse	On December 6, 2018, Stacy Heinse responded: Specifically, you requested a list of every vendor/annual contract with a future expiration date. You requested the list contain the title/description, expiration date, and awarded vendor name for each awarded contract. A follow up email was sent to you on November 5, 2018, seeking clarification of your request. In your response you clarified that you were seeking information for both IT and non-IT service contracts that were awarded through the competitive process. You indicated you were specifically looking for term/annual

PR A-2018-02162	Ballas	Nicholas	10/30/2018	<p>and awarded vendor name for each awarded contract. If any additional contract details are easy to include, please provide that as well (example: contract start date, contract renewal terms, pricing information...). If applicable please inform me in advance if a fee will be required.</p> <p>Please contact me if you have any questions. My number is [REDACTED]</p>	<p>contracts, including public works and helicopter contracts that fell into that category. You also indicated to narrow down our search to include information on term/annual contracts from 2015 to present.</p> <p>Please see the attached list with information responsive to your request for Non-IT contracts. There are no responsive records pertaining to IT contracts.</p> <p>On November 16, 2018, Stacy Heinsen sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On November 5, 2018 Stacy Heinsen sent a request for clarification: Our office is in receipt of your recent request for records (below).</p> <p>In order to determine if our office has responsive records, I am seeking clarification of your request. Please see our questions below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Are you requesting information for IT service contracts, Non-IT service contracts, or both?</li> <li>2. If seeking Non-IT service contracts, does that include public works and helicopter contracts?</li> <li>3. For IT service, Non-IT service, or both, are there specific types of contracts you are seeking or all types?</li> </ol> <p>Can you provide more specifics about what you are seeking?</p> <p>Thank you for clarifying your request.</p>	Erin Nickless	<p>On November 9, 2018, Public Records Responded: We are attaching .pdf copies of our logs in the format requested between the dates April 1 and May 31, 2018. We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process.</p> <p>Please note that the Public Records Act does not require that the requester provide their name or address, as long as they indicate a means by which we can convey records to them in their request. If there are blank fields or incomplete information, this may be the result of that information having not been provided by the requester.</p> <p>If you would like data for June and July, we can provide our standard logs for June and July sooner than the twelve</p>
						Assigned	
				<p>Hi – I am requesting a list of all of the public records requests submitted to the California Attorney General's Office between April 1, 2018 and October 30, 2018.</p> <p>Specifically, I would like the requester's full name, city and state, and description of records sought. Electronic records are preferred.</p>			

PR A-2018-02163	Davis (City of Glendale CCWs)	Jason	10/30/2018	1. Any and all writings, as defined in Evidence Code section 250, that state, refer, or relate to the total number of licenses to carry firearms (also known as CCWs) issued by the City of Glendale's Chief of Police. This request is limited to the time period beginning 2008 through today.	Completed	Public Records	<p>week period noted above. However, please note that our standard logs typically do not include the requester's location, so the documents that are currently available for June and July do not include the city and state information that you have requested. Please let us know if you would like those logs now, or if you prefer to wait for the ones we still need to generate with city and state information included.</p> <p>On December 5, 2018, Public Records responded: On November 9, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, to the extent that you are requesting "all writings" that possibly "refer, or relate" to CCWs issued in Glendale, given the fact that these terms are very broad, and considering the size of the Department, the request is overly broad as cast. The Department has offices in six different cities and employs hundreds of people. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents referencing the subject of your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>However, because your request appears to be designed to capture data regarding CCWs issued by the City of Glendale's Chief of Police, we ran a report using our currently available CCW data and have searched our records for</p>
-----------------	-------------------------------	-------	------------	--	-----------	----------------	---

prior responses to PRA requests for CCW data.

Attached is the report with the current numbers, as well as pages from CCW state-wide reports that mention "Glendale" dating back to 2012. We do not have reports from before 2012.

The reports we provided in response to prior PRA requests are voluminous and attaching them to email is not practical. As such, we will be mailing them to you on a CD along with a hard copy of this letter.

Please note that our Carry Concealed Weapons (CCWs) system can only give a picture of the data as it appears in the system on the day a report is run and will not be a full, all-inclusive picture of all transactions since 2008 as it is only point-in-time. This means that we can only tell you what appeared in the system on the day a report was run. As a result, if information regarding an application was entered and subsequently changed before a report was run, the report will only capture the changed version of the data for that application. In cases where an entry was removed as a result of expiration or denial, the report will not capture the initial entry of the application at all. As a result, previous versions of entries that have since been changed or removed would not appear in the numbers we ran. For example, if a license was reflected in the system on January 1, 2016, then shortly thereafter expired and, as a result, later removed from our system, that license would not have been captured when we ran the current numbers.

Pursuant to Penal Code section 26225, law enforcement agencies are required to send copies of approvals and denials to the DOJ. However, the DOJ has encountered inconsistent reporting by issuing agencies. As such, we recommend that complete records be sought from the issuing agencies. Furthermore, the only obligation for the DOJ under current law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. Lastly, our data may not reflect all approvals as some may not have yet been reported to us.

PR A-2018-02164	Witzel (9-11 records)	Christopher	10/30/2018	<p>A 911 call made on September 10, 2018 around 4:10pm. The call was made in Sacramento, Ca. I'm not sure if it went through Sacramento police or through a CHP office. I had called because someone intentionally passed me on the shoulder and hit my car. Then it appeared he was going to drive away. I gave the license plate number 7KHZ423. He did stop and the CHP was dispatched for a police report. I'm having a dispute with the auto insurance companies and I would like to obtain a copy of the 911 call to help my case. Can you please contact me or mail me a copy of the transcript?</p>	<p>Enclosed, please find records responsive to your request. On November 9, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PR A-2018-02165	Luh	Elizabeth	10/30/2018	<p>I would like all of the murder victim data from 2007 - 2017. Information I'd like included is the reported date, victim's full name, victim's age, victim's sex, city, state, latitude and longitude of the crime location, whether the case was solved, the badge number and name of the officer assigned to the case, and the year. If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, I ask you to note whether, as is normally the case under the Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information. If you determine that some but not all of the information is exempt from disclosure and that you intend to withhold it, I ask that you redact it for the time being and make the rest available as requested.</p> <p>If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me at (provide phone or fax number, pager number, etc.). I ask that you notify me of any duplication costs exceeding \$50 before you duplicate the records so that I may decide which records I want copied. As this is for research and will benefit the public, I ask that you waive a portion of the fee if possible.</p> <p>I'd like the data to be mailed to me via compact disc.</p>	<p>The data that you are requesting can be found on the California Attorney General's OpenJustice website within the report titled "Homicide," here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a>. Note, we do not release the victim's name and date of birth to avoid re-identification issues with the family and also the victim to suspect relationship. Furthermore, we do not collect the following: city, latitude, longitude, if the case was solved, or the badge number of the officer assigned.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request the information regarding whether the crime was solved and the badge number of the officer assigned from the appropriate law enforcement agency who handled the case.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The data that you are requesting can be found on the California Attorney General's OpenJustice website within the report titled "Homicide," here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a>. Note, we do not release the victim's name and date of birth to avoid re-identification issues with the family and also the victim to suspect relationship. Furthermore, we do not collect the following: city, latitude, longitude, if the case was solved, or the badge number of the officer assigned.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request the information regarding whether the crime was solved and the badge number of the officer assigned from the appropriate law enforcement agency who handled the case.</p>
PR A-2018-02166	Chilleo	Paul	10/30/2018	<p>Could you please provide me the number of authorized tables that Hollywood Park Casino in Inglewood, California has been licensed for since the inception of the business. Specifically, I would like to know the number of authorized tables for each year Hollywood Park Casino has been in business. Any assistance you could provide will be greatly appreciated. I can be reached at my office 424.227.3320 if you need any further clarification of my request.</p>	<p>Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19800 et seq.), the records of the Bureau, a subdivision of the Department of Justice, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department of Justice to knowingly disclose or furnish records of information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.</p>	Completed	Riann Kopchak	<p>Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19800 et seq.), the records of the Bureau, a subdivision of the Department of Justice, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department of Justice to knowingly disclose or furnish records of information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.</p>

PR A-2018-02167	Noren	Nicole	10/30/2018	<p>I am requesting copies of any and all correspondence to/from Nevada attorney Leslie Stovall to/from the California Office of the Attorney General. His original correspondence was sent to the OAG on approximately October 8, 2018. In his initial correspondence, he included copies of two letters he sent to the Las Vegas Metro Police Department, a set of documents he obtained by "Football Leaks", and a copy of the 09/28/18 civil complaint entitled "Mayorga v Ronaldo" A-18-781869-C. My request is for copies of Mr. Stovall's entire original set of records sent on/about Oct. 8, any follow-up correspondence from him, and any correspondence sent TO him by the Office of the Attorney General between October 8, 2018 - October 30, 2018.</p>	Completed	Maureen Onyega gbako	<p>A review of your request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth above. Accordingly, any records that might be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure under the Gambling Control Act (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subds. (c) &amp; (d)) and by the terms of the Public Records Act (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). Your request for disclosure of records as set forth above is therefore denied.</p> <p>On November 9, 2018, we extended the period to provide our response because your request required coordination with different sections in the department that have responsibility for the requested records.</p> <p>We have recovered documents that are responsive to your request but exempt from disclosure as investigative law enforcement records of the Attorney General. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f); Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) The Government Code exempts records of complaints and preliminary inquiries. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Additionally, it "protects materials that, while not on their face exempt from disclosure, nevertheless become exempt through inclusion in an investigatory file." (Dick Williams, supra, 5 Cal.4th at p. 354, citations omitted.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].) Finally, to protect the integrity of the investigative process, this office will not comment on, even to confirm or deny, any potential or ongoing investigation.</p>
PR A-2018-02168	Bensinger (WSJ)	Greg	10/30/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act, I seek copies of all communications digital or physical between the California attorney general's office and Uber Technologies Inc. regarding Uber's 2016 breach affecting customer and driver data, and its subsequent settlement.</p> <p>Responsive documents may include, but not be limited to, communications, contracts and supporting documents between the attorney general's office and the following individuals:  Dara Khosrowshahi, Matt Olsen, Tony West, Tammy Albaran, Salle Yoo, Angela Padilla, Jill Hazelbaker, Matt Kaliman, Scott Schools, Regina LaMonica, Christopher B. Wilson, E. Desmond Hogan, Michelle Kisloff</p> <p>I seek records from Nov. 1, 2017 through the date of this request.</p> <p>As this is a matter of public interest, I ask that you expedite my request. I request the information as a member of the news media, not for any commercial purpose.</p> <p>If possible, I would prefer to receive any responsive documents in digital/electronic format.</p>	Completed	Anthony P. O'Brien	<p>On November 21, 2018, Anthony O'Brien sent a production: On November 8, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request to November 26, 2018. (Gov. Code § 6253, subd. (c)); Code Civ. Proc., §§ 10, 12a, 135.) We have determined that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. (See Gov. Code § 6253, subd. (c).) Copies of responsive non-exempt documents are attached to this letter. However, we will not produce</p>



						proposal would be covered by this privilege. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Id. at p. 1342.) If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. (Cal. First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 159, 172-173.) In the instant case, documents regarding communications between the Attorney General's Office and Uber Technologies, Inc. between November 2017 and October 2018 include records related to the Attorney General's investigation of Uber Technologies, Inc. (occurring between November 2017 and September 2018), as well as attorney work product and documents reflecting the Attorney General's Office's decision-making process during the investigation. Absent special circumstances not present in this matter, those documents covered under the exemptions and privileges noted above are not subject to disclosure.		
PR A-2018-02170	Popov	Chris	10/30/2018		Under the California Public Records Act§ 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records including correspondence, emails, written complaints, telephonic transcriptions or memorandum from telephone or email communications regarding GayTravel.Com including any documents which were used as the basis for the Notice of Violation issued to GayTravel.com on October 22, 2018, by the Seller of Travel Program, Office of the Attorney General, 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013	Completed	Steven De Salvo	Records of complaints or other documents that served as a basis of the Notice of Violation are confidential investigative and/or law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, and investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f)). Accordingly, any records of complaints or other documents that served as a basis for the Notice of Violation are exempt from disclosure. The Department of Justice and the Seller of Travel Program have no additional records responsive to your request.
PR A-2018-02170	Schwartz (specific case records)	Avi	10/30/2018	Could you please email me a copy of the appellate brief your office filed in La Follette v. Padilla on August 24, 2018?		Completed	Public Records	Enclosed are the records responsive to your request (copy of brief was provided).





							<p>your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. Subject to limited exceptions, the information provided by sex offenders during the registration process and/or pertaining to their registration status is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, §§ 290.021 and 290.46(e) and (l).)</p> <p>You indicated that you are able to obtain a release from Mr. Mercer in regards to any sex offender registration information that may be in the possession of the California Department of Justice. Please contact me at my contact information found at the top of this letter to discuss this release and possible alternative means to proceed.</p>
PR A-2018-02173	Elliott	Jodi	10/31/2018	<p>I am requesting Sex Offender Registration records regarding Thad Mercer, DOB [REDACTED]. It is my understanding that he registered as a sex offender in January 2018 with the La Mesa Police Department. I have been in contact with them and they tell me I need to contact the SARS office - DOJ.</p> <p>My name is Jodi Elliott, I am a legal assistant with the Public Defense Office and my e-mail is <a href="mailto:jodi.elliott@co.thurston.wa.us">jodi.elliott@co.thurston.wa.us</a> and my # is 360-786-5899. I can provide a release, if needed.</p>	Completed	Brian Segal	
PR A-2018-02175	Carroll (Nicklas Akers)	James	10/31/2018	<p>1) All documentation, including but not limited to emails, involving Deputy Attorney General Nicklas Akers's participation in any investigations and/or litigation involving non-profit entities, religious groups, and groups affiliated with known religious organizations from January 1, 2008 to date of production.</p> <p>2) All documentation, including but not limited to emails regarding Nicklas Akers's county election campaign for and representation of the Democratic Central Committee, County of San Mateo, political affiliations, and activities between January 1, 2008 and date of production.</p>	Completed	Jose Zelidon Zepeda	<p>On November 13, 2018, Jose Zelidon-Zepeda responded: Your request was received on October 31, 2018. By letter dated November 13, 2018, this office extended the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to November 27, 2018. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) Responses to Request:</p> <p>1. Enclosed are certain non-exempts records responsive to request number 1, regarding the litigation in United States of America and State of California ex rel. Aria Kozak and Donna Kozakv. Chabad-Lubavitch, Inc. et al., No. 10-cv-1056-MCE-EFB (E.D. Cal.). Please be advised that certain potentially responsive records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure. The Public Records Act exempts from disclosure records of complaints and investigations</p>

conducted by the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice, among other agencies. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f); *Williams v. Superior Court* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 355-56.) Additionally, confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) Our office provides legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the Department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

Moreover, the attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

Finally, certain records that are potentially responsive to the request are covered by the common interest doctrine. When parties share common interests, confidential disclosure of otherwise attorney-client privileged communications or attorney work product by one party to the other party does not waive privilege if the disclosure is reasonably necessary to assist the disclosing party in accomplishing the purpose for which that party's lawyer was consulted. (*OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court* (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890; see *Meza v. H Muehlstein & Co.* (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 969, 981.)

2. We have no documents responsive to request number 2.

This completes our response to your request. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding this matter.

On November 13, 2018, Jose Zeledon-Zepeda sent an extension letter.

PR A-2018-02179	Davis (CIS I, II, III Training Materials)	Jason	10/31/2018	<p>I am in receipt of your letter dated October 23, 2018, responding to our request dated August 31, 2018, and relating to certain CIS training materials. On page 1 of the produced documents, the following responsive materials are identified:</p> <p>APPLICATIONS / MATERIALS DESCRIPTION</p> <p>ACTIONS / COMMENTS</p> <p>Background Clearance Unit (BCU) DROS Procedures – Binder 1</p> <p>Includes penal codes commonly seen when working a DROS, database procedures, examples, and additional reference material.</p> <p>Reference Binder is given to new analysts learning how to work DROS.</p> <p>BCU DROS Procedures – Binder 2</p> <p>Includes NICS training, examples, point of contacts, and additional reference material</p> <p>Federal binder is given to new analysts learning how to work DROS.</p> <p>The contents of those binders (including any inserts, and covers) should have been produced along with the original responsive documents - but were excluded. As such, our request remains outstanding. Please provide these within the next ten business days.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>On November 15, 2018 an extension letter was sent.</p>
PR A-2018-02211	Rogers	Michael	10/31/2018	<p>1. Anv and all information pertaining to the court case and conviction, of Kenneth Byron Nelson, white male, DOB [REDACTED], under statute 55-5555, offense date 28 JUN 95, conviction date 17 NOV 1995, Garden Grove, California.</p> <p>2. Date that Kenneth Byron Nelson left the State of California.</p> <p>3. Past known addresses for Kenneth Byron Nelson, since 1995.</p> <p>4. Current location of Kenneth Byron Nelson.</p> <p>5. Full name, and any additional information of a spouse, or common law marriage for, Kenneth Byron Nelson.</p> <p>6. Office, contact name, address, and phone number for the person responsible for the oversight of registered sex offenders in the State of California. Specifically the State of California representative responsible for the oversight of the above named individual.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to conclusively locate any court records that are responsive to your first request. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as case numbers or the court in which the case was heard, please provide that to us.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. We must deny your request for addresses of Kenneth Byron Nelson. Non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers are exempt from disclosure on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We note that in item number 6 of your</p>



<p>DOJ employee based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We anticipate the next production to be available on January 14, 2019</p> <p>November 29, 2018 response: On November 9 and 13, I contacted you to discuss your request. You clarified that you are not interested in settlement agreements for worker's compensation claims or bargaining unit contract grievances at this time. You confirmed however that you are interested in settlement agreements for claims against the AG or DOJ that were filed or were threatened to be filed with a third-party adjudicatory tribunal (e.g., State Personnel Board, superior or federal court, etc.) including agreements in which the DOJ agreed to payment of money or to a change in DOJ policy or regulation. By letter dated November 13, 2018, the DOJ sent you a letter notifying you that the DOJ was invoking the extension for its response.</p> <p>As you are aware, the DOJ employs peace officers as provided in Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b). Personnel records of sworn peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, and discipline, are confidential records. (Copley Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7.) Thus, any records responsive to your request that apply to a sworn peace officer of DOJ would be exempt from disclosure under the PRA and will not be produced. (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.) We plan to produce records on a rolling basis. Attached are the first few that we were able to locate. We anticipate the next production to be available on December 10, 2018.</p> <p>November 14, 2018 extension letter: On November 9 and 13, I contacted you to discuss your request. You clarified that you are not interested in settlement agreements for worker's compensation claims or bargaining unit</p>																						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

[illegible]